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#### Abstract

We revisit a theorem of Chow (1949) concerning line-preserving bijections of Grassmannians and use it to determine the automorphism group of Grassmann codes. Further, we analyze the automorphisms of the big cell of a Grassmannian and then use it to settle an open question of Beelen et al. (2010) concerning the permutation automorphism groups of affine Grassmann codes. Finally, we prove an analogue of Chow's theorem for the case of Schubert divisors in Grassmannians and then use it to determine the automorphism group of linear codes associated to such Schubert divisors. In the course of this work, we also give an alternative short proof of MacWilliams theorem concerning the equivalence of linear codes and a characterization of maximal linear subspaces of Schubert divisors in Grassmannians.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$-linear code, i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ be a $k$-dimensional subspace of the $n$ dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q$ elements, where $q$ is a prime power. Automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ are basically transformations of the ambient space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ that preserve the code $\mathcal{C}$ and the coding-theoretic properties of $\mathcal{C}$. These come in different flavors, namely, permutation automorphisms, monomial automorphisms, and, the semilinear automorphisms, thus giving rise to groups $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{C})$, $\operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{C})$, and $\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$, respectively. The last of these, being the most general, will simply be referred to as automorphisms and we will just write $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ instead of $\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. Let us recall that $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of permutations $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that

[^0]$\left(c_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, c_{\sigma(n)}\right) \in C$ for all $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in C$. Equivalently, PAut $(\mathcal{C})$ consists of permutation matrices $P \in \operatorname{GL}\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that $c P \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Likewise, $\operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of monomial matrices $M \in \operatorname{GL}\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ (i.e., matrices of the form $P D$, where $P$ is a permutation matrix and $D$ a diagonal matrix in $\left.\operatorname{GL}\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$ such that $c M \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Finally, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of compositions $M \mu$ of (linear maps corresponding to) monomial matrices $M \in \operatorname{GL}\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and field automorphisms $\mu$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (giving maps of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ into itself by acting on each of the coordinates) such that $c M \mu \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ of automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ can be viewed as a subgroup of the group $\Gamma L\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ of semilinear transformations of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. A classical theorem of MacWilliams 12 implies that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})(\operatorname{resp}: \operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{C}))$ is the same as the group of semilinear (resp: linear) isometries of $\mathcal{C}$, where by an isometry of $\mathcal{C}$ we mean a bijection of $\mathcal{C}$ onto itself that preserve the Hamming norm.

Determination of (either or each of) the automorphism group of a code is of considerable importance. Besides being of interest in itself, this can be useful in decoding and often finds applications to the theory of finite groups. Complete determination of the automorphism group has been achieved thus far in a few select classes of linear codes, such as Hamming codes, Reed-Muller codes, etc., and we refer to the Handbook article of Huffman [15, Ch. 17] for more details. We consider in this paper the problem of complete determination of the automorphism group(s) of Grassmann codes and also related linear codes such as affine Grassmann codes and Schubert codes. Grassmann codes were introduced by Ryan (1987) in the binary case and by Nogin (1996) in the $q$-ary case, and have been of considerable recent interest (see, e.g., [14, 6, 7] and the references therein). However, as far as we know, automorphism groups of Grassmann codes and more generally, of Schubert codes have not been studied so far. Affine Grassmann codes were recently introduced and studied by Beelen, Ghorpade and Høholdt [2, 3]. In [2], it was shown that the permutation automorphism group of the affine Grassmann code $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$, corresponding to positive integers $\ell, m$ with $\ell<m$, contains a subgroup isomorphic to a semidirect product $M_{\ell \times \ell^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_{\ell^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ of the additive group of $\ell \times \ell^{\prime}$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with the multiplicative group of $\ell^{\prime} \times \ell^{\prime}$ nonsingular matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $\ell^{\prime}=m-\ell$. In [3], this result is extended to show that $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ contains a larger group, say $\mathfrak{H}(\ell, m)$, that is essentially obtained by taking the product of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with the semidirect product $M_{\ell \times \ell^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_{\ell^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. It was also shown in [3] that $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ can, in fact, be larger when $\ell^{\prime}=\ell$, i.e., when $m=2 \ell$, and it was remarked that the complete determination of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ is an open question. In this paper, we settle this question and show that $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{H}(\ell, m)$ when $m \neq 2 \ell$, whereas if $m=2 \ell$, then $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ is a certain semidirect product of $\mathfrak{H}(\ell, m)$ with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we completely determine $\operatorname{MAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ as well as $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$. This is, in fact, facilitated by going back to the origins of affine Grassmann codes, namely, the Grassmann codes, and the corresponding projective systems, viz., (the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational points of) Grassmann varieties with their canonical Plücker embedding. Thus, we first tackle the problem of determination of the automorphism group of the Grassmann code $C(\ell, m)$ corresponding to the Grassmannian $G(\ell, m)$ of $\ell$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$. Here it is actually more natural to deal with the corresponding projective system $\mathcal{P}=G(\ell, m)$ viewed as a collection of $n=\# G(\ell, m)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ points in the Plücker projective space $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}=\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}\right)$, where
$k=\binom{m}{\ell}$. Before proceeding further with the description of our results on automorphisms of Grassmann codes and Schubert codes, it seems worthwhile to digress to discuss the notions of projective systems and their automorphism groups.

The notion of a projective system was introduced by Tsfasman and Vlăduţ as an algebraic geometric counterpart of linear codes (see [16, p. 67] for relevant historical and bibliographical information). A $[n, k]_{q}$-projective system is just a collection, say $\mathcal{P}$, of $n$ not necessarily distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}=\mathbb{P}^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. The (semilinear) automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$ are projective semilinear isomorphisms (known in classical literature as collineations) $g: \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ that preserve $\mathcal{P}$ (together with the multiplicities). These form a group that we denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ and call the automorphism group of $\mathcal{P}$; it is a subgroup of the projective semilinear group $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(k, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. An $[n, k]_{q}$-projective system $\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to a $[n, k]_{q}$-linear code $\mathcal{C}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ corresponds to $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$; more precisely, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is a central extension of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ by the subgroup $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$of scalar matrices in $\mathrm{GL}\left(k, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Likewise, the projective linear isomorphisms (known in classical literature as projectivities) among $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ form a subgroup $\operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\operatorname{PGL}\left(k, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ that corresponds to MAut $(\mathcal{C})$. Many, but perhaps not all, of the notions and results of classical coding theory can be translated in the language of projective systems and we refer to the book [16] for the current state of art. It may be noted, in particular, that a "Lang-like" problem [16, Problem 1.1.9] in this book asks to rewrite existing books on coding theory in terms of projective systems, and it is mentioned that the authors consider this to be a rather important and interesting research problem. In the course of our exposition here, we take a small step toward solving this problem by considering automorphisms in the setting of projective systems, and as a dividend, give a short and simple proof of the classical theorem of MacWilliams mentioned earlier.

Now let us return to a description of our main results. For the projective system $\mathcal{P}=G(\ell, m)$ corresponding to Grassmann codes, we show that if $m \neq 2 \ell$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ is precisely $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(m, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}\right)$, viewed as a subgroup of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(k, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=$ $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}\right)$ by identifying an $m \times m$ nonsingular matrix with its $\ell^{\text {th }}$ compound matrix, or in other words, by identifying a linear map $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$ with its $\ell^{\text {th }}$ exterior power $\Lambda^{\ell} f: \wedge^{\ell} \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\ell} \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$. On the other hand, if $m=2 \ell$, then one has to reckon with a correlation and in this case, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ is a semidirect product of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(m, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding $\operatorname{Aut}(C(\ell, m))$ is then described via central extensions, or more precisely, using group cohomology and certain subgroups of roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$; see Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 for a more precise statement. Further, one obtains $\operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{P})$ essentially by replacing P$\Gamma \mathrm{L}$ with PGL in the above description. Next, we take up the case of affine Grassmann codes. To this end, we analyze the automorphisms of the so-called big cell of the Grassmannian, and show that they behave nicely (Theorem 4.2). Using this and the characterization of the automorphisms of Grassmannians, we can conclude that the semilinear and the monomial automorphism groups of affine Grassmann codes are essentially given by a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ modulo scalars, although one has to again make a distinction between the cases $m \neq 2 \ell$ and $m=2 \ell$. (See Theorems 4.4 and 4.5). Finally, we consider the codes associated to Schubert divisors in Grassmannians; these are a special case of Schubert codes introduced in [6] and are in a sense complementary to affine Grassmann codes. We show, in fact, that the automorphism groups of these codes are isomorphic to that of affine Grassmann codes, and that the automorphisms of Schubert divisors in a Grassmannian can be
identified with the automorphisms of the big cell of the Grassmannian. However, proving this in the setting of an arbitrary ground field (and in particular, a finite field) seems quite nontrivial and a number of auxiliary results are needed. In particular, we require a classification of the maximal linear subspaces of Schubert divisors, which is proved here (Lemma 5.1) and may be of independent interest.

A key ingredient in our determination of the automorphism group of Grassmann codes is a classical result of Chow [5] that characterizes line-preserving bijections of Grassmannians and can be viewed as a remarkable generalization of the fundamental theorem of projective geometry. This result has also been rediscovered by others (e.g., Nemitz [13]). Chow's proof depends on a result in Bertini's 1924 monograph that does not seem easily accessible. For the sake of completeness and for the convenience of the reader, we give here a self-contained proof of Chow's theorem that is modeled after Chow's original proof, but also fills in some details (especially in the $m=2 \ell$ case), which may have been obvious to Chow. This also paves the way for the auxiliary results that are needed for classifying semilinear automorphism of Schubert divisors in Grassmannians, where the determination of the automorphism group appears to be new.

## 2. Projective Systems and Automorphisms of Codes

In this section we consider the notions of equivalence and automorphisms of linear codes from the point of view of projective systems. The purpose is to settle notations and terminology used throughout this paper. For a more leisurely treatment one may refer to [16]. Fix a prime power $q$ and let $F$ denote the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset F^{n}$ denote a nondegenerate $[n, k]_{q}$ linear code. The standard basis of $F^{n}$ will be denoted $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and the associated dual basis will be denoted $\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}\right\}$. The non-degeneracy of $\mathcal{C}$ implies that the restrictions of the functionals $e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ are nonzero and they span $\mathcal{C}^{*}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}=\left\{e_{\mid \mathcal{C}}^{1}, \cdots, e_{\mid \mathcal{C}}^{n}\right\}$ is a collection of $n$ (not necessarily distinct) points in $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ not lying in a hyperplane. We say $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ is the projective system associated with $\mathcal{C}$.

If $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a $r$-dimensional subcode of $\mathcal{C}$, then the subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ consisting of functionals vanishing on $\mathcal{D}$ has codimension $r$. Let $L_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ be its projectivization. Using this correspondence $\mathcal{D} \mapsto L_{\mathcal{D}}$ we identify $r$-dimensional subcodes of $\mathcal{C}$ with codimension $r$ subspaces of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$. We may define $L_{\mathcal{C}}=\varnothing$. The weight of a $r$-dimensional subcode $\mathcal{D}$ is the cardinality $\left|\mathcal{P} \backslash L_{\mathcal{D}}\right|$. The weight of a non-zero codeword $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is the weight of the one-dimensional subcode generated by it. We recall the following elementary formula relating the weight of a subcode to the weights of its one dimensional subcodes: ([16, p. 7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{D}\|=\frac{1}{q^{r-1}} \sum_{[v] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D})}\|v\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Geometrically, $\|\mathcal{D}\|=\left|\mathcal{P} \backslash L_{\mathcal{D}}\right|$, and $\mathcal{P} \backslash L_{\mathcal{D}}=\cup_{\mathcal{H} \supset L_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ are hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$. The formula (11) now follows from the fact that for each $x \notin L_{\mathcal{D}}$ there are $q^{r-1}$ hyperplanes containing $L_{\mathcal{D}}$ but not $x$.

We recall the notion of a semilinear map $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ between vector spaces over any field $\mathbb{K}$. We say $f$ is $\mu$-semilinear if there is a field automorphism $\mu$ of $\mathbb{K}$ with $f(u+c v)=f(u)+\mu(c) f(v)$ for all $u, v \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$. In particular an invertible
$\mu$-semilinear transformation of $\mathbb{K}^{m}$ has the form $x \mapsto A \mu(x)$ where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{K})$ and $\mu$ acts on each entry of $x$. The group of semilinear automorphisms of a vector space $V$ will be denoted $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$, and also by $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, \mathbb{K})$, when $V=\mathbb{K}^{m}$. For a semilinear map $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$, we denote the corresponding projective semilinear map from $\mathbb{P}(V)$ to $\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ by $[f]$. By a projective semilinear isomorphism from $\mathbb{P}(V)$ to $\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ we mean the map induced by a semilinear isomorphism from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$. We will denote the group of projective semilinear isomorphisms from $\mathbb{P}(V)$ to itself by $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$, and we note that $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ is the factor group of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ by the subgroup $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$of transformations of $V \rightarrow V$ of the form $v \mapsto c v$ as $c$ varies over the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{K}$. The semilinear isomorphisms of finite dimensional projective spaces are characterized by the following (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 2.26]):
Theorem 2.1 (Fundamental theorem of Projective Geometry). Let $n \geq 3$. The group of bijective self maps of projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{K}^{n}\right)$ which take lines to lines, is the group $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(n, \mathbb{K})$.

We will also need the notion of the transpose of a $\mu$-semilinear map $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ between vector spaces over any field $\mathbb{K}$. The transpose $f^{*}: V^{*} \rightarrow V^{*}$ is the unique semilinear map satisfying the property: $\langle\alpha, f(v)\rangle=\mu\left(\left\langle f^{*} \alpha, v\right\rangle\right)$ where $\langle$,$\rangle denotes$ the natural pairing $V^{*} \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. If $f$ is represented as $x \mapsto A \mu(x)$ in coordinates with respect to some choice of basis on $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, then the transpose is represented in coordinates with respect to the dual bases by $\xi \mapsto \mu^{-1}\left(A^{t} \xi\right)$ where $A^{t}$ is the usual transpose and $\mu^{-1}$ acts entry-wise on matrices. For a projective semilinear isomorphism $g: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$, we define a transpose as follows. Let $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be any semilinear map such that $[f]=g$, and let $f^{*}: V^{\prime *} \rightarrow V^{*}$ be its transpose. Then the projective map $\left[f^{*}\right]: \mathbb{P}\left(V^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(V^{*}\right)$ induced by $f^{*}$ is independent of the choice of $f$ and it will be denoted by $g *$ and called the transpose of $g$.

We recall (from [16]) the notions of equivalence and isomorphisms for codes and for projective systems. We allow all maps to be semilinear.
Definition 2.2. Two $[n, k]_{q}$-projective systems $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime *}\right)$ are said to be equivalent if there is a projective semilinear isomorphism $g: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime *}\right)$ which carries $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Two nondegenerate $[n, k]_{q}$-codes $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are said to be equivalent if the corresponding projective systems $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ are equivalent. We will denote this equivalence as $\mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

Note that since the $n$ points of $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ need not be distinct, when we say $g$ carries $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, we mean that for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, the multiplicity of $g(p)$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ equals the multiplicity of $p$ in $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F^{n}\right)$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(n, F)$ consisting of transformations preserving the Hamming metric on $F^{n}$. They are of the form $x \mapsto A \mu(x)$ where $A$ is a monomial matrix ( $\sqrt{15}$ vol.2) and $\mu$ a power of the Frobenius automorphism. If $\mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ with $g: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime *}\right)$ as above, then there is a unique $g^{b} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F^{n}\right) / F^{\times}$that takes $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. To see this, let $\tilde{g}: \mathcal{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime *}$ be a semilinear isomorphism inducing $g$, then $g(\mathcal{P})=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ implies that $\tilde{g}$ takes $e_{\mid \mathcal{C}}^{j}$ to $a_{j} e_{\mid \mathcal{C}^{\prime}}^{\sigma(j)}$ for some permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ and some $a_{j} \in F^{\times}$. If $\tilde{g}$ is $\mu$-semilinear, then there is a unique $\mu$-semilinear automorphism $\phi^{*}$ of $\left(F^{n}\right)^{*}$ whose restriction to $C^{*}$ is $\tilde{g}$. It is given by $\phi^{*}(\xi)=A \mu(\xi)$ where $A$ is the monomial matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ whose $(i, j)$ th entry is $a_{j} \delta_{i, \sigma(j)}$. Thus $\left(\tilde{g}^{-1}\right)^{*}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{C}$ of the isometry $\phi^{-1}$ of $F^{n}$ that takes $x \mapsto B \mu(x)$ where $B=\left(B_{i j}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ is given by $B_{i j}=\left(1 / a_{j}\right) \delta_{i, \sigma(j)}$. Since $\tilde{g}$ was ambiguous up to a scalar multiple, so is the isometry $\phi^{-1}$. The class of $\phi^{-1}$ in $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F^{n}\right) / F^{\times}$is the desired map $g^{b}$.

Definition 2.3. The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ of the projective system $\mathcal{P}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ of transformations taking $\mathcal{P}$ to itself. The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ of the code $\mathcal{C}$ is the group of transformations of $F^{n}$ which take $\mathcal{C}$ to itself and have the form $x \mapsto A \mu(x)$ where $A$ is a monomial matrix in $\operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ and $\mu$ is a field automorphism of $F . \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is a central extension of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ by $F^{\times}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) / F^{\times}$where $F^{\times}$is the subgroup of scalar matrices in $\operatorname{GL}(n, F)$.

The isomorphism between $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) / F^{\times}$is given by the correspondence $g \mapsto g^{b}$ described above. In addition to the equivalence relation $\sim$ of codes (Definition 2.2), there is another natural notion of equivalence of nondegenerate $[n, k]_{q}$ codes:
Definition 2.4. On the set of $k$-dimensional nondegenerate linear $q$-ary codes (of any length) let $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ if there is a weight preserving bijection $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ carrying $r$-dimensional subcodes to $r$-dimensional subcodes for all $0 \leq r \leq k$.

The correspondence $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{D}}$ takes $k-1$ dimensional subcodes to codimension $k-1$ subspaces of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$, i.e., points of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$. Since the bijection $f$ in definition 2.4, carries $k-1$ dimensional subcodes to $k-1$ dimensional subcodes, we get an induced bijective map $f_{\sharp}: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$. Given a $r$-dimensional subcode $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, the map $f$ carries the set of all $k-1$ dimensional subcodes of $\mathcal{C}$ that contain $\mathcal{D}$ into the set of $k-1$ dimensional subcodes of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ containing $f(\mathcal{D})$. This implies that $f_{\sharp}$ carries codimension $r$ subspaces to codimension $r$ subspaces. By this interpretation of the equivalence relation $\approx$ of codes in terms of projective systems, we obtain a geometric proof of the following well-known theorem of MacWilliams. The proof below is very short and simple in comparison to the standard proofs (cf. [12, 4, 18]) and illustrates the advantage of the geometric framework of projective systems.

Theorem 2.5 (MacWilliams). Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be linear codes of dimension $k \geq 3$. Then: $\mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.
Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be as in the Definition 2.2. Also let $f_{\sharp}: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ be the corresponding bijection. From formula (1) it follows that $f$ preserves weights of subcodes of all dimensions. In particular, since points of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$ correspond to $(k-1)$-dimensional subcodes, we get $|\{v\} \cap \mathcal{P}|=\left|\left\{f_{\sharp}(v)\right\} \cap \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right|$, for all $v \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{C}^{*}\right)$. Therefore $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with multiplicity $\nu$ if and only if $f_{\sharp}(P) \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ with multiplicity $\nu$. In particular $n^{\prime}=n$. Since $k \geq 3$, Theorem2.1implies that the map $f_{\sharp}$ is a projective semilinear isomorphism, thus proving $\mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. For the converse, the discussion preceding Definition 2.3 shows that $\mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ implies the existence of a transformation $\phi^{-1} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F^{n}\right)$ of $F^{n}$ taking $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Since Hamming isometries preserve weights of codewords, $\phi_{\mid \mathcal{C}}$ gives the equivalence $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.
We observe that if $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ with $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ a linear isometry, then the map $f_{\sharp}$ is automatically a projective linear isomorphism, and hence we do not need the $k \geq 3$ assumption necessary for theorem 2.1. We state this as a corollary:
Corollary 2.6. If $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is a linear isometry between two $k$-dimensional linear codes, then the codes have the same length $n$ and $f$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{C}$ of a linear transformation of $F^{n}$ defined by a monomial matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$.

## 3. Grassmann Codes

In the first subsection below, we work over an arbitrary field $\mathbb{K}$. Subsequently, we will let $\mathbb{K}$ be the finite field $F=\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $G(\ell, m)$ denote the set of $\ell$-dimensional
subspaces of $\mathbb{K}^{m}$. We will also use the symbol $V$ for $\mathbb{K}^{m}$, and denote $G(\ell, m)$ by $G_{\ell}(V)$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{K}^{m}$ and let $\mathcal{I}(\ell, m)$ denote the set of multi-indices:

$$
\mathcal{I}(\ell, m)=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\ell}\right): 1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{\ell} \leq m\right\}
$$

For $I=\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\ell}\right) \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)$, let $e_{I}$ denote the decomposable element $e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{\ell}}$ of $\wedge^{\ell} V$. Then $\left\{e_{I}: I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)\right\}$ is a basis of $\wedge^{\ell} V$. To any $\gamma \in G(\ell, m)$, we assign the wedge product $v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ where $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\right\}$ is an arbitrary basis of $\gamma$. Writing $v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell}=\sum_{I} p_{I} e_{I}$, with $p_{I} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)$, we obtain the Plücker coordinates $\left(p_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)}$ of $\gamma$. It is well-known that the resulting map $G(\ell, m) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ is a nondegenerate embedding of $G(\ell, m)$ as a projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ defined by certain quadratic polynomials (see, e.g., [10, Section VII.2]). We will refer to $G(\ell, m)$ as the Grassmannian or the Grassmann variety (of $\ell$-dimensional subspaces of $V=\mathbb{K}^{m}$ ).
3.1. Line-preserving Bijections of Grassmannians. By a line in $G_{\ell}(V)$ we mean a set of $\ell$-dimensional spaces of $V$ containing a given $(\ell-1)$-dimensional subspace and contained in a given $(\ell+1)$-dimensional subspace (see the discussion following Lemma 3.4). We define:
(2) $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)=\left\{\right.$ bijections $f: G_{\ell}(V) \rightarrow G_{\ell}(V)$ which take lines to lines $\}$

The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ was determined by Wei-Liang Chow (1949), see Theorem 3.5 below. In fact, Chow only requires the bijections to take collinear points to collinear points. One gets a posteriori that the group of such bijections actually take lines to lines. We will need a few definitions and lemmas before we can discuss the statement and proof of this theorem. These definitions and results will also be needed in later sections.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\hat{\rho}: \Gamma L(V) \rightarrow \Gamma L\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ be the $\ell$-th exterior power representation that maps $f \in \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V)$ to $\wedge^{\ell} f$, where $\wedge^{\ell} f \in \Gamma \mathrm{~L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left(\wedge^{\ell} f\right)\left(v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell}\right)=f\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge f\left(v_{\ell}\right) \quad \text { for } v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell} \in V
$$

If $1 \leq \ell \leq m-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker}(\hat{\rho})=\left\{c I_{m}: c^{\ell}=1\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the induced homomorphism $\rho: \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ is injective.
Proof: The scalar matrices in $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ are clearly as given in (3). Therefore, it suffices to show that $\rho$ is injective, for then $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\rho})$ will consist of scalar matrices. For any $\gamma \in G(\ell, m)$, and $g \in \mathrm{P} \Gamma\left(\mathbb{K}^{m}\right)$, let $g(\gamma) \in G(\ell, m)$ be the image of the vector space $\gamma$ under $g$. If $g$ is in $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$, then the Plücker coordinates of $g(\gamma)$ and $\gamma$ are equal, and so $g(\gamma)=\gamma$. Suppose there is a $v \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{K}^{m}\right)$ with $g(v) \neq v$. Let $\gamma \in G(\ell, m)$ be an $\ell$-dimensional subspace containing $v$ but not $g(v)$ (here we use the condition that $\ell \neq m)$. Since $v \in \gamma$, we have $g(v) \in g(\gamma)=\gamma$, contradicting $g(v) \notin \gamma$. Thus $g$ has to be the identity element of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\mathbb{K}^{m}\right)$.

We recall that the group $\operatorname{PGL}(m, \mathbb{K})$ has an inverse transpose automorphism taking $[A] \mapsto\left[A^{-t}\right]$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{K})$ is a representative of $[A]$. For $m \geq 3$, this is an outer automorphism corresponding to a diagram automorphism of the root system. (For $m=2$, the automorphism is inner because $\left[A^{-t}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right][A]\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{-1}$ ). There is an induced automorphism on $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ taking a $\mu$-semilinear map $x \mapsto A \mu(x)$ to the $\mu$-semilinear map $x \mapsto A^{-t} \mu(x)$, which in turn descends to an automorphism
of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$. We will denote this automorphism of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ by the symbol $-t$ and refer to it as the inverse transpose outer automorphism. The semidirect product of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ where the generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ by the automorphism $-t$ defined above, will be denoted $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
Definition 3.2. The Hodge star isomorphism $*_{\ell}: \wedge^{\ell} V \rightarrow \wedge^{m-\ell} V$ is the linear isomorphism of $\wedge^{\ell} V$ defined by $e_{I} \rightarrow \operatorname{sgn}\left(I I^{\circ}\right) e_{I^{\circ}}$ where $I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m), I^{\circ} \in \mathcal{I}(m-$ $\ell, m)$ with $I^{\circ}$ being the complement of $I$. The scalar $\operatorname{sgn}\left(I I^{\circ}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}$ denotes the sign of the permutation $(1, \cdots, m) \mapsto\left(I I^{\circ}\right)$. We use the same symbol $*_{\ell}$ : $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{m-\ell} V\right)$ for the induced projective linear isomorphism.

The next lemma asserts that in the case $m=2 \ell$, the automorphism of $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$ defined by $\rho \circ-t \circ \rho^{-1}$ is induced by the Hodge star automorphism of $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $V=\mathbb{K}^{m}$. The isomorphism $*_{\ell}$ takes $G_{\ell}(V)$ to $G_{m-\ell}(V)$. For $f \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$, we have $*_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}=\wedge^{m-\ell} f^{-t}$. In the case $m=2 \ell$, the map defined by $\wedge^{\ell} f \mapsto *_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}$ represents a nontrivial outer automorphism of $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$. We will denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ generated by $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$ and $*_{\ell}$ by $\operatorname{im}(\rho) \rtimes_{*_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}:=\left\langle\operatorname{im}(\rho), *_{\ell} \mid *_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}=\Lambda^{\ell} f^{-t}\right\rangle$. Thus the group $\operatorname{im}(\rho) \rtimes_{*} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ defined above.
Proof: We construct linear isomorphisms $H_{\ell}: \wedge^{\ell} V \rightarrow \wedge^{m-\ell} V^{*}$ and $\theta: V^{*} \rightarrow V$ such that $*_{\ell}=\left(\wedge^{m-\ell} \theta\right) \circ H_{\ell}: \wedge^{\ell} V \rightarrow \wedge^{m-\ell} V$. Let $\eta=e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{m} \in \wedge^{m} V$. For any $\xi \in \wedge^{\ell} V$, let $H_{\ell}(\xi) \in \wedge^{m-\ell} V^{*}$ be defined by $\left\langle H_{\ell}(\xi), \zeta\right\rangle \eta=\xi \wedge \zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \wedge^{m-\ell} V$, where $\langle$,$\rangle is the natural pairing between \wedge^{i} V^{*}$ and $\wedge^{i} V$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m$. We claim that $H_{\ell}$ carries a decomposable element $v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell}$ of $\wedge^{\ell} V$ to a decomposable element of $\wedge^{m-\ell} V^{*}$. To see this, we extend $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\right\}$ to a basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ of $V$ such that $v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{m}=\eta$. It follows from the definition of $H_{\ell}$ that $H_{\ell}\left(v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell}\right)$ is the decomposable element $v^{\ell+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{m}$, where $\left\{v^{1}, \ldots, v^{m}\right\}$ is the dual basis. In particular $H_{\ell}\left(e_{I}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(I I^{\circ}\right) e^{I^{\circ}}$. Now let $\theta: V^{*} \rightarrow V$ be the linear isomorphism defined by $e^{i} \mapsto e_{i}$ for all $i$. It now follows that $\left(\wedge^{m-\ell} \theta\right) \circ H_{\ell}$ carries $e_{I}$ to $\operatorname{sgn}\left(I I^{\circ}\right) e_{I^{\circ}}$, and hence $\left(\wedge^{\ell} \theta\right) \circ H_{\ell}$ is $*_{\ell}$. The map $\wedge^{m-\ell} \theta$ takes a decomposable element $v^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v^{m-\ell} \in \wedge^{m-\ell} V^{*}$ to the decomposable element $\theta\left(v^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta\left(v^{m-\ell}\right)$. Thus the composition $\left(\wedge^{m-\ell} \theta\right) \circ H_{\ell}$ takes decomposable elements to decomposable elements. Therefore the projective map $*_{\ell}$ takes $G_{\ell}(V)$ to $G_{m-\ell}(V)$.

We will now show $*_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}=\wedge^{m-\ell} f^{-t}$ holds for $f \in \Gamma L(V)$. First observe that the maps $f^{-t}$ and $\theta \circ\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} \circ \theta^{-1}$ are equal, as both have the coordinate expression $x \mapsto A^{-t} \mu(x)$ when $f$ has the coordinate expression $x \mapsto A \mu(x)$. (the transpose $f^{*}$ of a semilinear map $f$ was defined immediately after Theorem 2.1). Using the definition $*_{\ell}=\left(\wedge^{m-\ell} \theta\right) \circ H_{\ell}$ we get, $*_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}=\wedge^{m-\ell} \theta \circ H_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ$ $H_{\ell}^{-1} \circ \wedge^{m-\ell} \theta^{-1}$. Therefore, it suffices to show $H_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ H_{\ell}^{-1}=\wedge^{m-\ell}\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*}$ or equivalently $\wedge^{m-\ell}\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} \circ H_{\ell}=H_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f$. To prove this we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(H_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f\right)(\xi), \zeta\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\wedge^{m-\ell}\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} \circ H_{\ell}\right)(\xi), \zeta\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } \xi \in \wedge^{\ell} V, \zeta \in \wedge^{m-\ell} V \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (4) by $\eta$, the left side is $\left(\wedge^{\ell} f(\xi)\right) \wedge \zeta$ which is also $(-1)^{\ell(m-\ell)} \zeta \wedge\left(\wedge^{\ell} f(\xi)\right)$. We rewrite this as:
(5) $(-1)^{\ell(m-\ell)} \wedge^{m} f\left(\left(\wedge^{m-\ell} f^{-1} \zeta\right) \wedge \xi\right)=(-1)^{\ell(m-\ell)} \mu\left(\left\langle H_{m-\ell} \circ \wedge^{m-\ell} f^{-1} \zeta, \xi\right\rangle\right) \eta$

Next we use the elementary fact that $\left\langle H_{\ell} \xi, \zeta\right\rangle=(-1)^{\ell(m-\ell)}\left\langle H_{m-\ell} \zeta, \xi\right\rangle$ for all $\xi, \zeta \in \Lambda^{\ell} V$ (this fact is easily proven by verifying it for basis vectors), to rewrite
the last term in (5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\left\langle H_{\ell}(\xi), \wedge^{m-\ell} f^{-1} \zeta\right\rangle\right) \eta=\left\langle\wedge^{m-\ell}\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*} \circ H_{\ell}(\xi), \zeta\right\rangle \eta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is $\eta$ multiplied by the right side of (4), as desired.
In the case $m=2 \ell$, suppose $\wedge^{\ell} f \mapsto *_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism of $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$, obtained by conjugating by $\Lambda^{\ell} f_{0}$. Then, using $*_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}=\Lambda^{\ell} f^{-t}$, we get $\rho\left(f_{0} \circ f \circ f_{0}^{-1}\right)=\rho\left(f^{-t}\right)$. Since $\rho$ is injective, we get a contradiction to the fact that $-t$ is not an inner automorphism of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$.
Remark: We will also need the following relation between $*_{\ell-1}$ and $*_{\ell}$, which follows from their definitions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{\ell}(\beta \wedge v)=\iota_{\theta-1}(v)\left(*_{\ell-1} \beta\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad \beta \in \wedge^{\ell-1} V, v \in V \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota_{\omega}: \wedge^{r} V \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} V$ is the interior multiplication operation defined by $\left\langle\nu, \iota_{\omega} \xi\right\rangle=\langle\omega \wedge \nu, \xi\rangle$ for all $\nu \in \wedge^{r-1} V^{*}, \omega \in V^{*}$ and $\xi \in \wedge^{r} V$.

We use the letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ for points of $G_{\ell-2}(V), G_{\ell-1}(V), G_{\ell}(V)$, and $G_{\ell+1}(V)$ respectively. By a linear subspace of $G_{\ell}(V)$, we mean a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda^{\ell} V\right)$ contained in $G_{\ell}(V)$. The following basic fact characterizes the linear subspaces of $G_{\ell}(V)$ (cf. [9, p.68], [5, section II.1], [7, section 2]).
Lemma 3.4 (Maximal linear subspaces of $G_{\ell}(V)$ ). For any $\beta \in G_{\ell-1}(V)$ and any $\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V)$, let

$$
\pi_{\beta}=\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V): \gamma \supset \beta\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi^{\delta}=\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V): \gamma \subset \delta\right\}
$$

Then $\pi_{\beta}$ and $\pi^{\delta}$ are linear subspaces of $G_{\ell}(V)$, of dimensions $m-\ell$ and $\ell$ respectively. Moreover, any linear subspace of $G_{\ell}(V)$ is contained in a $\pi_{\beta}$ or a $\pi^{\delta}$.

We note that the intersection of all $\gamma$ contained in a $\pi_{\beta}$ is $\beta$. Therefore, $\beta \rightarrow \pi_{\beta}$ is one-one. Similarly the vector space sum of all $\gamma$ in a $\pi^{\delta}$ is $\delta$, therefore $\delta \rightarrow \pi^{\delta}$ is oneone. In case $m=2 \ell$, both $\pi_{\beta}$ and $\pi^{\delta}$ are $\ell$-dimensional, but $\pi_{\beta} \neq \pi^{\delta}$ because the intersection of all $\gamma$ in a $\pi_{\beta}$ is $\beta$, where as it is just $\{0\}$ for a $\pi^{\delta}$. Given $\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V)$ and a $r$-dimensional vector subspace $W \subset \delta$, we define $\pi_{W}^{\delta}:=\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(\delta): \gamma \supset W\right\}$. By the preceding lemma, every codimension- $r$ subspace of $\pi^{\delta}$ is a $\pi_{W}^{\delta}$ for a unique $r$-dimensional $W \subset \delta$. In particular, the lines contained in a $\pi^{\delta}$ are of the form $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ for $\beta \subset G_{\ell-1}(\delta)$. Observing that $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ is the same as $\pi_{\beta} \cap \pi^{\delta}$, we see that the line $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ is contained in $\pi_{\beta}$ as well. It follows that every line in $G_{\ell}(V)$ is of the form $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$. We now come to the main theorem.

Theorem 3.5 (Chow). Let $V=\mathbb{K}^{m}$ with $m \geq 3$. If $m \neq 2 \ell$, then

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)=\operatorname{im}(\rho) \simeq \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)
$$

whereas if $m=2 \ell$, then

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)=\operatorname{im}(\rho) \rtimes_{*_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \simeq \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

We remark that a proof of this theorem in Chow [5] is obtained by appealing to another theorem from a classical book of Bertini on projective geometry (1924 German translation, see reference [1] of [5]). The book [9] also contains a partial proof of this theorem which works only for $\mathbb{K}$ algebraically closed (see Theorem 10.19 of [9]). We give a proof which partially follows Chow, but is self-contained and fills in some details for the case $m=2 \ell$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5: We prove the theorem for $\ell \leq m-\ell$. If $\ell>m-\ell$, the Hodge star isomorphism $*_{\ell}: G_{\ell}(V) \rightarrow G_{m-\ell}(V)$ gives rise to an isomorphism $g \mapsto *_{\ell}^{-1} \circ g \circ *_{\ell}$ from $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{m-\ell}(V)\right)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$. Since $\ell>m-\ell$ implies $m \neq 2 \ell$, the proof below will show that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{m-\ell}(V)\right)=\left\{\wedge^{m-\ell} f: f \in \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)\right\}$. Using Proposition 3.3, we then get that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)=\left\{\wedge^{\ell} f^{-t}: f \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)\right\}$ which is equal to $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$, as desired.

The proof for $1 \leq \ell \leq m / 2$ is by induction. When $\ell=1$, the theorem is a restatement of Theorem 2.1. We assume the result to be true for $G_{\ell-1}(V)$ and prove it for $G_{\ell}(V)$. Since a subspace is characterized by the property that it contains the line through any two of its points, the fact that $f$ takes lines to lines implies that it takes subspaces to subspaces. The image under $f$ of a maximal subspace $\pi$ has to be maximal (if $\pi^{\prime} \supset f(\pi)$, then $f^{-1}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \supset \pi$ ).

We first consider the case when no $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)$ is a $\pi^{\delta}$. We claim that this condition is automatically satisfied if $m \neq 2 \ell$ : Observing that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)>\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi^{\delta}\right)$, we only need to show that an injective $\operatorname{map} \varphi: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\prime}$ between two projective spaces that carries lines to lines, carries $r$-dimensional subspaces to subspaces which are necessarily $r$-dimensional. The fact is true for $r=1$ by hypothesis. Assume it is true for $r \leq j-1$, and let $\pi$ be a $j$-dimensional subspace. We pick a $j-1$ dimensional subspace $\pi^{\prime} \subset \pi$ and a point $p \in \pi \backslash \pi^{\prime}$. We can view $\pi$ as the union of all lines from $p$ to $\pi^{\prime}$. Since $\varphi$ takes lines to lines, $\varphi(\pi)$ is the union of all lines from $\varphi(p)$ to $\varphi\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\varphi\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is $j-1$ dimensional and $\varphi(p) \in \varphi(\pi) \backslash \varphi\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$, we get $\varphi(\pi)$ is $j$-dimensional.

Therefore, assuming that no $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)$ is a $\pi^{\delta}$, we obtain the bijective maps $f_{-}$: $G_{\ell-1}(V) \rightarrow G_{\ell-1}(V)$ and $f_{+}: G_{\ell+1}(V) \rightarrow G_{\ell+1}(V)$, defined by $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)=\pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}$ and $f\left(\pi^{\delta}\right)=\pi^{f_{+}(\delta)}$. We will prove that $f_{-}$carries lines to lines. We first note that $f$ takes a line $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}=\pi_{\beta} \cap \pi^{\delta}$ to $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right) \cap f\left(\pi^{\delta}\right)=\pi_{f_{-}(\beta)} \cap \pi^{f_{+}(\delta)}$ which is the line $\pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}^{f_{+}(\delta)}$. Now, any line in $G_{\ell-1}(V)$ can be written as $L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}=\left\{\beta \in G_{\ell-1}(\gamma): \alpha \subset \beta\right\}$ for uniquely determined $\alpha \in G_{\ell-2}(V)$ and $\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V)$ with $\alpha \subset \gamma$. We pick $\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V)$ with $\delta \supset \gamma$. We consider the plane $\pi_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ contained in $\pi^{\delta}$. Let $\delta^{\prime}$ denote $f_{+}(\delta)$. Since $f$ takes planes to planes, we see $f\left(\pi_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)=\pi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ for a unique $\alpha^{\prime} \in G_{\ell-2}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ (by the characterization of planes in $\pi^{\delta^{\prime}}$ ). We can express the punctured plane $\pi_{\alpha}^{\delta} \backslash \gamma$ as a disjoint union of punctured lines $\pi_{\alpha}^{\delta} \backslash \gamma=\amalg_{\beta \in L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}}\left(\pi_{\beta}^{\delta} \backslash \gamma\right)$ (where the symbol $\amalg$ denotes disjoint union). Since $f\left(\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}\right)=\pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}^{\delta^{\prime}}$, we get $\pi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\delta^{\prime}} \backslash f(\gamma)=$ $\amalg_{\beta \in L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}}\left(\pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}^{\delta^{\prime}} \backslash f(\gamma)\right)$. Therefore, $\alpha^{\prime} \subset f_{-}(\beta)$ for all $\beta \in L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$. Since $\beta \subset \gamma$, we get $f_{-}(\beta) \subset f(\gamma)$. Combining these inclusions we get $f_{-}\left(L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\right) \subset L_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{f(\gamma)}$. We now have two decompositions of $\pi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\delta^{\prime}} \backslash f(\gamma)$ into disjoint punctured lines:

$$
\coprod_{\beta^{\prime} \in L_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{f(\gamma)}}\left(\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}^{\delta^{\prime}} \backslash f(\gamma)\right)=\pi_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\delta^{\prime}} \backslash f(\gamma)=\coprod_{\beta^{\prime} \in f_{-}\left(L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\right)}\left(\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}^{\delta^{\prime}} \backslash f(\gamma)\right)
$$

Comparing the left and right sides of the decomposition, and using $f_{-}\left(L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\right) \subset L_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{f(\gamma)}$, we conclude that $f_{-}\left(L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\right)=L_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{f(\gamma)}$.

Having proved that $f_{-}$carries lines to lines, the inductive hypothesis implies that $f_{-}=\wedge^{\ell-1} g$ for some $g \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$ (where we have used the fact that $\ell \leq m / 2$ implies $m \neq 2(\ell-1))$. An element $v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{j} \in \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{j} V\right)$ represents a $j$-dimensional subspace of $V$ if and only if $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{j}\right\}$ is a basis for that subspace. Let $\beta_{1}:=$ $v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell}$ and let $\beta_{2}=v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell-1}$. We have $f_{-}\left(\beta_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge g\left(v_{\ell}\right)$
and $f_{-}\left(\beta_{2}\right)=g\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge g\left(v_{\ell-1}\right)$. Since $f_{-}\left(\beta_{1}\right), f_{-}\left(\beta_{2}\right) \subset f(\gamma)$, we see that each of the $\ell$ vectors $g\left(v_{i}\right)$ are in $f(\gamma)$. Since $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\right\}$ are linearly independent and $g$ is invertible, we get that $\left\{g\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(v_{\ell}\right)\right\}$ form a basis of $f(\gamma)$. Therefore $f(\gamma)=g\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge g\left(v_{\ell}\right)$. Since this works for every $\gamma$, we have shown that $f=\wedge^{\ell} g$.

Now we come to the case when $m=2 \ell$ and there exists a $\pi_{\beta_{0}}$ with $f\left(\pi_{\beta_{0}}\right)=\pi^{\delta_{0}}$. We put an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $G_{\ell-1}(V)$, by defining $\beta \sim \beta^{\prime}$ unless one of $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $f\left(\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$ is a $\pi_{\beta^{\prime \prime}}$ and the other a $\pi^{\delta}$. We will show that the class of $\beta_{0}$ is all of $G_{\ell-1}(V)$. For any $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ lying on a line $L_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ (as defined previously), we have $\pi_{\beta} \cap \pi_{\beta^{\prime}}=\gamma$. Therefore, $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right) \cap f\left(\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}\right)=f(\gamma)$. Since the intersection of a $\pi_{\beta^{\prime \prime}}$ and a $\pi^{\delta}$ is either empty or a line $\pi_{\beta^{\prime \prime}}^{\delta}$, we cannot have one of $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right), f\left(\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}\right)$ being a $\pi_{\beta^{\prime \prime}}$ and the other a $\pi^{\delta}$. Therefore, $\beta \sim \beta^{\prime}$. Now any two points $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in G_{\ell-1}(V)$ can be joined by a sequence of at most $\ell-1$ lines in $G_{\ell-1}(V)$ : Let $\beta=\epsilon \wedge\left(v_{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell-1}\right)$ and $\beta^{\prime}=\epsilon \wedge\left(v_{r+1}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell-1}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\epsilon=v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{r}=\beta \cap \beta^{\prime}$. Let $\beta_{1}=\beta, \beta_{\ell-r}=\beta^{\prime}$ and set $\beta_{i}=\epsilon \wedge v_{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell-i} \wedge v_{\ell-i+1}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\ell-1}^{\prime}$. Then $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{i+1}$ intersect in a $\ell-2$ dimensional subspace, and hence can be joined by the line $L_{\beta_{i} \cap \beta_{i+1}}^{\beta_{i}+\beta_{i+1}}$. Thus there is only one $\sim$ equivalence class. Assigning a sign -1 or +1 to each $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ according as whether $f$ interchanges the set of $\pi_{\beta}$ 's and the $\pi^{\delta}{ }^{\prime}$ s, have a sign homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with kernel exactly im $(\rho)$. Now, the relation (7) implies that for $f=*_{\ell}$, we have $f\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)=\pi^{*_{\ell-1}(\beta)}$. Thus the Hodge-isomorphism $*_{\ell}$ has sign -1 (the sign defined above). We thus conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)=\operatorname{im}(\rho) \rtimes_{*_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
3.2. Automorphism group of $C(\ell, m)$. Here, and in the remainder of this section, we take $\mathbb{K}$ to be the finite field $F=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q$ elements. In this case $G(\ell, m)$ is a finite set. We will denote the number $\binom{m}{\ell}$ as $k$, and the cardinality $|G(\ell, m)|$ as $n$. The projective system $\mathcal{P}=G(\ell, m) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ gives rise to the $[n, k]_{q}$ Grassmann code $\mathcal{C}=C(\ell, m)$. Let $\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{n}\right\}$ denote representatives in $\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}$ of the $n$ points of $G(\ell, m)$ taken in some fixed order. The code $\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(\omega\left(P_{1}\right), \cdots, \omega\left(P_{n}\right)\right): \omega \in\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)^{*}\right\}
$$

The $k \times n$ matrix $M$ whose $(i, j)$-th entry is the $i$-th Plücker coordinate of the $j$-th point of $\mathcal{P}$ is a generator matrix for $\mathcal{C}=C(\ell, m)$. This requires picking an ordering of the $k$ elements of $\mathcal{I}_{\ell, m}$. Now Definition 2.3 of the automorphism group gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P}) & =\left\{g \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right): g(G(\ell, m))=G(\ell, m)\right\}, \text { and }  \tag{8}\\
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) & \simeq \pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P}))
\end{align*}
$$

where $\pi: \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ is the canonical homomorphism.
Theorem 3.6. If $m \neq 2 \ell$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F)$, whereas if $m=2 \ell$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, where the generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F)$ by the inverse transpose outer automorphism.

Proof: The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ according to (8) consists of those elements of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ which take the $G(\ell, m)$ to itself. Since elements of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ preserve the set of lines in $G(\ell, m)$, Theorem 3.5 immediately implies that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})=\rho(\operatorname{PLL}(m, F))$ if $m \neq 2 \ell$, and $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})=\rho(\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F)) \rtimes_{*} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, where the generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\rho(\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F))$ by the Hodge star outer automorphism (Proposition 3.3). Now by Lemma 3.1 $\rho$ is injective, and so this yields the desired result.

We now proceed to explicitly determine the automorphism group of the Grassmann code $\mathcal{C}=C(\ell, m)$ from that of the corresponding projective system. Let $\mathcal{G}=\pi^{-1}(\rho(\operatorname{PLL}(m, F)))$, where $\pi: \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ is the canonical homomorphism. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}=\left\{\hat{g} \in \Gamma \mathrm{~L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right) \mid \exists(f, c) \in \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) \times F^{\times} \text {with } c\left(\wedge^{\ell} f\right)=\hat{g}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho$ is injective, we view $\mathcal{G}$ as a central $F^{\times}$-extension of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F)$. We will give a complete characterization of this extension (see Theorem 3.7below). That allows us to completely characterize $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})=\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P}))$ as a central $F^{\times}$-extension of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ :

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})= \begin{cases}\mathcal{G} & \text { if } m \neq 2 \ell  \tag{10}\\ \mathcal{G} \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } m=2 \ell\end{cases}
$$

We need some notation. Let $\lambda=(q-1, \ell)$ be the GCD of $q-1$ and $\ell$, and let $\lambda^{\prime}=(q-1) / \lambda$. Let $\mu_{\lambda}$ and $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ denote the group of $\lambda$-th and $\lambda^{\prime}$-th roots of unity in $F^{\times}$(identified with the corresponding scalar matrices in $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F)$ ).

Define $\hat{\varrho}: \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) \times F^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ by $\hat{\varrho}(f, c)=c\left(\wedge^{\ell} f\right)$. Clearly $\hat{\varrho}$ is a homomorphism. The image of $\hat{\varrho}$ is exactly $\mathcal{G}$. We observe that $\hat{\varrho}$ factors to a homomorphism $\varrho:\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$. Let $K$ denote $\operatorname{ker}(\varrho)$. If $(f, d) \in \operatorname{ker}(\varrho)$ then $d\left(\wedge^{\ell} f\right)$ is the identity element of $\Gamma L\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$, which in turn implies that the projective map $[f] \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F)$ is in $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$. Since $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ is trivial, we get that $f=c I_{m}$ for some $c \in F^{\times} / \mu_{\lambda}$. Since $d\left(\wedge^{\ell} f\right)$ is identity, we get $d=c^{-\ell}$. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=\operatorname{ker}(\varrho)=\left\{\left(c I_{m}, c^{-\ell}\right): c \in F^{\times} / \mu_{\lambda}\right\} \subset\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have an isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G} \simeq\left(\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times}\right) / K \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to clarify the structure of $\mathcal{G}$ as a central $F^{\times}$-extension of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F)$ we consider the following exact sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \rightarrow \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \quad \xrightarrow{\imath_{1}} \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\jmath_{1}} \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(m, F) \rightarrow 1  \tag{13}\\
& 1 \rightarrow \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \quad \xrightarrow{\imath_{2}} F^{\times} \xrightarrow{\jmath_{2}} \mu_{\lambda} \rightarrow 1  \tag{14}\\
& 1 \rightarrow \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \times \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\imath_{1} \times \imath_{2}}\left(\Gamma \mathrm{~L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times} \xrightarrow{\jmath_{1} \times \jmath_{2}} \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda} \rightarrow 1 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the map $\imath_{1}$ is given by $d \mapsto d^{1 / \lambda} I_{m}$ (the map $d \mapsto d^{1 / \lambda}$ is the inverse of the isomorphism $F^{\times} / \mu_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ given by $c \mapsto c^{\lambda}$ ), whereas the map $\imath_{2}$ is given by $d \mapsto d^{\ell / \lambda}$ (note that $\imath_{2}$ is injective because $(\ell / \lambda, q-1)=1$ ). The map $\jmath_{1}$ is the projectivization map induced from $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F)$. The map $\jmath_{2}$ takes $c \mapsto c^{\lambda^{\prime}}$. The exact sequence (15) is obtained from (13)-(14) by taking products in each factor. We now consider the subgroup $K \subset\left(\Gamma L(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times}$, and the subgroup $K^{\prime} \subset \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \times \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ defined by:

$$
K^{\prime}=\left\{\left(d, d^{-1}\right): d \in \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right\}=\left\{\left(c^{\lambda}, c^{-\lambda}\right): c \in F^{\times} / \mu_{\lambda}\right\} \subset \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \times \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}
$$

We observe that the map $\imath_{1} \times \imath_{2}$ of (15) carries $K^{\prime}$ isomorphically to $K$. Thus passing to the quotients $\left(\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \times \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) / K^{\prime} \simeq \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ and $\left(\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times}\right) / K$ in (15), we get the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\left[\imath_{1} \times \imath_{2}\right]}\left(\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times}\right) / K \xrightarrow{\left[\jmath_{1} \times \jmath_{2}\right]} \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda} \rightarrow 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (12), $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the second term of (16). Thus the exact sequence (16) expresses $\mathcal{G}$ as a central $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ extension of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda}$. The process of arriving at (16) from (13)-(14) can be understood in terms of group cohomology. Using the correspondence between central $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$-extensions of a group $A$ and elements of $H^{2}\left(A, \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ (with $A$ acting trivially on $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ ), let $\alpha \in Z^{2}\left(\operatorname{PLL}(m, F), \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\beta \in Z^{2}\left(\mu_{\lambda}, \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ be cocycles representing (13) and (14). We consider $\alpha \otimes 1+$ $1 \otimes \beta \in Z^{2}\left(\left(\operatorname{PLL}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda}\right), \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$. The $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$-extension of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda}$ that corresponds to this cocycle is given by the amalgamated central product of the extensions corresponding to $\alpha$ and $\beta$, i.e., $\left(\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times F^{\times}\right) / K$. We now summarize this description of $\mathcal{G}$ and note that, thanks to equation (10), this gives a more precise and complete description of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\lambda=(q-1, \ell)$, $\lambda^{\prime}=(q-1) / \lambda$, and let $\mu_{\lambda}$ and $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ denote, respectively, the group of $\lambda$-th and $\lambda^{\prime}$-th roots of unity in $F^{\times}$. The group $\mathcal{G}$ defined in (9) is a central extension of $\left(\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda}\right)$ by $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, corresponding to the class $[\alpha] \otimes 1+1 \otimes[\beta] \in H^{2}\left(\left(\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F) \times \mu_{\lambda}\right), \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$, where $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F), \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ and $[\beta] \in H^{2}\left(\mu_{\lambda}, \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ are classes representing the $\mu_{\lambda^{\prime}-e x t e n s i o n s ~}\left(\Gamma \mathrm{~L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right)$ and $F^{\times}$appearing in (13) and (14).

In the special case when $\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=1$, the extension $F^{\times}$splits as $F^{\times}=\mu_{\lambda} \times \mu_{\lambda^{\prime}}$. The class $[\beta]=0$, and hence $[\alpha] \otimes 1+1 \otimes[\beta]=[\alpha] \otimes 1$. Thus $\mathcal{G}$ is the extension $\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times \mu_{\lambda}$ of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F)$. In other words, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is $\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \times \mu_{\lambda}$ if $m \neq 2 \ell$, and $\left.\left(\left(\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) / \mu_{\lambda}\right) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \times \mu_{\lambda}$ if $m=2 \ell$. In particular if $\lambda=$ $(q-1, \ell)=1$, the group $\mu_{\lambda}$ is trivial, and hence $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F)$ if $m \neq 2 \ell$, (or $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ when $\left.m=2 \ell\right)$. Similarly, if $\ell \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)$, then $\mu_{\lambda}=F^{\times}$ and thus $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(m, F) \times F^{\times}$if $m \neq 2 \ell$, whereas if $m=2 \ell$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is $\left(\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F) \rtimes_{-t} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right) \times F^{\times}$.
Remark: It is easily seen that the group $\operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{C})$ of monomial automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(\ell, m)$ is obtained from $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ by replacing $\Gamma$ with $G$, i.e., by changing $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(m, F)$ and $\operatorname{PLL}(m, F)$ to $\mathrm{GL}(m, F)$ and $\operatorname{PGL}(m, F)$, respectively.

## 4. Affine Grassmann codes

We continue to use the notations of the previous section. To begin with, suppose the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ is the finite field $F=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q$ elements. We recall $\mathcal{P}=$ $G(\ell, m) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ is the projective system defining the Grassmann code $C(\ell, m)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ denote the coordinate hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ given by the vanishing of the Plücker coordinate $p_{I_{0}}$ where $I_{0}:=(m-\ell+1, m-\ell+2, \ldots, m)$. The intersection of $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ with $G(\ell, m)$ is a Schubert divisor of $G(\ell, m)$. It will be denoted as $\Omega$. The complement of $\Omega$, i.e., $G(\ell, m) \backslash \mathcal{H}_{0}$ will be denoted $W_{0}$. It is the big cell (of dimension $\ell(m-\ell)$ ) of $G(\ell, m)$ in its standard cell structure. Let $V_{m-\ell}$ denote the span of the first $m-\ell$ standard basic vectors of $F^{m}$. We can also describe $\Omega$ and $W_{0}$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega & =\left\{\gamma \in G(\ell, m): \operatorname{dim}\left(\gamma \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)>0\right\} \text { and }  \tag{17}\\
W_{0} & =\left\{\gamma \in G(\ell, m): \operatorname{dim}\left(\gamma \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=0\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the projective system $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{A}}=W_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$. It is known that the minimum distance of the Grassmann code $C(\ell, m)$ is $\left|W_{0}\right|=q^{\ell(m-\ell)}$ (see [14]). In other words a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ can intersect $G(\ell, m)$ in at most $|G(\ell, m)|-$ $\left|W_{0}\right|=O\left(q^{\ell(m-\ell)-1}\right)$ points. As a consequence we see that the projective system
$\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{A}} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ is nondegenerate. The affine Grassmann code $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$ is the code associated with this projective system. The length and dimension of this code are $n=q^{\ell(m-\ell)}$ and $k=\binom{m}{\ell}$ respectively. By construction, it is obtained from the Grassmann code $C(\ell, m)$ by puncturing on the coordinates corresponding to the points of $\mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{A}}$. A $k \times n$ generator matrix $M^{\mathbb{A}}$ for this code can be constructed as follows. We pick some ordering of the $k$ Plücker coordinates $\left\{p_{I}: I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)\right\}$, such that the first of these is $p_{I_{0}}$ (as defined above). We pick some ordering of the $n$ points of $W_{0}$. The $j$-th column of the $k \times n$ generator matrix $M^{\mathbb{A}}$ is the coordinate vector $\left\{p_{I} / p_{I_{0}}: I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)\right\}$ (in the chosen order) of the $j$-th point of $W_{0}$. The code $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$ is also an example of an algebraic-geometric code $C(X, \mathcal{L} ; \mathcal{P})$ (see [17. Chapter 3.1]) constructed by evaluating the global sections $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{L}(D))$ of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}(D)$ associated with a divisor $D$ of a smooth projective variety $X$ over $F$. In this construction the global sections are evaluated on some subset $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$ of $F$-rational points of $X$ (after choosing an isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}_{P_{i}}$ with $F$ ) disjoint from the support of $D$. For the affine Grassmann code, the triple $(X, D, \mathcal{P})$ is $\left(G(\ell, m), \Omega, W_{0}\right)$. To see this we note that the vector space generated by $\left\{p_{I} / p_{I_{0}}: I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)\right\}$ (viewed as functions on $W_{0}$ ) is just the space $L(\Omega):=\{0\} \cup\left\{f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(G(\ell, m))^{\times}: \operatorname{div}(f)+\Omega \geq 0\right\}$. Therefore the code $C(X, \mathcal{L} ; \mathcal{P})$ is monomially equivalent to $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$. The affine Grassmann code was introduced in a slightly different but equivalent formulation by Beelen, Ghorpade and Høholdt [2]. For any $\gamma \in W_{0}$, there is a unique $\ell \times(m-\ell)$ matrix $A$ such that the rows of the $\ell \times m$ matrix $\left(A \mid I_{\ell}\right)$ (where $I_{\ell}$ is the $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix) form a basis for $\gamma$ (see, e.g., [8, p. 193]). The Plücker coordinates $\left\{p_{I} / p_{I_{0}}: I \in \mathcal{I}(\ell, m)\right\}$ of a point $\gamma \in W_{0}$ were interpreted in [2], as the set of all $r \times r$ minors (for $0 \leq r \leq \ell$ ) of the matrix $A$ described above. In particular, any generator matrix for the code constructed in [2], differs from $M^{\mathbb{A}}$ only by a row transformation and a column permutation.

In this section we will determine the automorphism $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ of the projective system, or equivalently $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right) / F^{\times}$(the automorphism group of the code modulo dilations). The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ itself is a central $F^{\times}$-extension of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{A}}\right)$. Of more interest in the case of affine Grassmann codes, is the permutation automorphism group, $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$. This is the subgroup of the permutation group on the columns of $M^{\mathbb{A}}$, consisting of permutations which preserve the row space of $M^{\mathbb{A}}$. In [3], a subgroup of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ was identified, and it was remarked that the full group could be larger and in fact, it was shown that this subgroup excludes an element (in fact, an involution) of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ when $\ell=m-\ell$. We show that the subgroup determined in [3] is, in fact, the full group $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ when $m \neq 2 \ell$, and that the excluded involution is essentially the only missing ingredient when $m=2 \ell$.
4.1. Automorphisms of the big cell of the Grassmannian. In this subsection, the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ is arbitrary. Let $V=\mathbb{K}^{m}$, and let $V_{m-\ell} \subset V$ be the subspace spanned by the first $m-\ell$ standard basic vectors $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m-\ell}\right\}$. We recall that $W_{0} \subset G_{\ell}(V)$ consists of those $\gamma$ which are complementary to $V_{m-\ell}$. We define:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)=\left\{g \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right): g\left(W_{0}\right)=W_{0}\right\}
$$

We introduce a decomposition of $G_{\ell}(V)$ as $W_{0} \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\ell}$ where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}:=\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V): \operatorname{dim}\left(\gamma \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=i\right\}, \quad \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need an elementary lemma:

Lemma 4.1. If a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ intersects $G_{\ell}(V)$ in two distinct points $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$, then either $L \subset G_{\ell}(V)$ or $L \cap G_{\ell}(V)=\left\{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right\}$.

Proof: We write $L$ as $\gamma(t)=\left[\tilde{\gamma}+t \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\right]$, where $\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ denote lifts of $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ to $\wedge^{\ell} V$. The quadratic Plücker equations $\left(\iota_{\xi} \gamma(t)\right) \wedge \gamma(t)=0$ (where $\iota_{\xi}$ is interior multiplication by $\xi \in \wedge^{\ell-1} V^{*}$, see, e.g., [8, pp. 209-211]) give rise to quadratic equations in $t$. Since $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in G_{\ell}(V)$, the coefficients of $t^{0}, t^{2}$ of these equations are zero. Hence the equations are of the form $a_{i} t=0$. If all $a_{i}=0$ then $L \subset G_{\ell}(V)$, otherwise $L \cap G_{\ell}(V)=\left\{\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right\}$.

Theorem 4.2. Viewed as subgroups of $\operatorname{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right) \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$. Moreover, every $g \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ also satisfies $g\left(W_{i}\right)=W_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Remark: The theorem is motivated by the special case when $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. In this case for any $g \in \operatorname{PGL}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ with $g\left(W_{0}\right)=W_{0}$, the intersection $g\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right) \cap G_{\ell}(V)$ is a closed subvariety of $G_{\ell}(V)$ containing $W_{0}$. Since $G_{\ell}(V)$ is the Zariski closure of $W_{0}$, it follows that $g\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right) \cap G_{\ell}(V)=G_{\ell}(V)$. For arbitrary fields this reasoning has to be replaced by an argument such as the following.
Proof: The first assertion follows from the second, because $G_{\ell}(V)$ is the union $W_{0} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\ell}$. We prove the second assertion by induction on $i$. The base case $i=0$ is true by hypothesis. We assume inductively that $g\left(W_{i}\right)=W_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, and establish the result for $i=r$. For any $\gamma \in W_{r}$, we pick an $\ell-1$ dimensional subspace $\beta \subset \gamma$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=r-1$, and pick $u_{1} \in V_{m-\ell}$ satisfying $\gamma=\beta \wedge u_{1}$. We pick any $u_{2} \notin\left(\beta+V_{m-\ell}\right)$ and consider $\gamma^{\prime}=\beta \wedge\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)$. The line $L$ joining $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ has exactly one point in $L \cap W_{r}$ namely $\gamma$, the remaining points of $L$ are in $L \cap W_{r-1}$. Now let $h$ be any one of the two maps $g, g^{-1}$. Since $h \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$, the image $h(L)$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$, and since $h\left(W_{r-1}\right)=W_{r-1}$ we see that $h(L) \backslash G_{\ell}(V)$ has at most one point. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we have $h(L) \subset G_{\ell}(V)$, i.e., $h(\gamma) \in G_{\ell}(V)$. It remains to prove that $h(\gamma) \in W_{r}$. Since $h(L)$ is a line on $G_{\ell}(V)$, we can express it as $h(L)=\pi_{\beta^{\prime}}^{\delta}$ for some $\beta^{\prime} \in G_{\ell-1}(V)$ and $\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V)$. Any $\gamma^{\prime} \in h(L)$ other than $h(\gamma)$ intersects $V_{m-\ell}$ in a $r-1$ dimensional subspace, hence $\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta^{\prime} \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is at most $r-1$. Therefore, $h(\gamma)$ is in $W_{r-1}$ or $W_{r}$. If $h(\gamma) \in W_{r-1}$, then we have $h(L) \subset W_{r-1}$, i.e., $L \subset h^{-1}\left(W_{r-1}\right)$. But $h^{-1}\left(W_{r-1}\right)=W_{r-1}$ (since $h$ takes $W_{r-1}$ to itself bijectively), and this contradicts the fact that $\gamma \notin W_{r-1}$. Thus $h(\gamma) \in W_{r}$. Since $\gamma \in W_{r}$ was arbitrary, we have shown that $h\left(W_{r}\right) \subset W_{r}$. Since $h$ was allowed to be either of the maps $g, g^{-1}$, we have shown $g\left(W_{r}\right)=W_{r}$.

We need some more notation before we can describe the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$. Let $P_{m-\ell, \ell}$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of GL $(V)$ consisting of matrices that carry $V_{m-\ell}$ to itself. (i.e., matrices whose $\ell \times m-\ell$ submatrix on the last $\ell$ rows and first $m-\ell$ columns is zero). Let $\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell}:=P_{m-\ell, \ell} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the subgroup of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ consisting of transformations that carry $V_{m-\ell}$ to itself, and let $\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$the corresponding subgroup of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$. In the case when $m=2 \ell$, let $\kappa \in \operatorname{GL}(V)$ be defined by $e_{j} \mapsto e_{m-j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. We consider the outer automorphism of GL $(V)$ defined by $g \mapsto \kappa g^{-t} \kappa^{-1}=\left(\kappa g \kappa^{-1}\right)^{-t}$. There is an induced automorphism of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$ which we will denote $-\tilde{t}$. For $g \in P_{\ell, \ell}$, the matrix $\kappa g \kappa^{-1}$ has a zero for the submatrix on first $\ell$ rows and last $\ell$ columns. Therefore $\left(\kappa g \kappa^{-1}\right)^{-t}$ is again in $P_{\ell, \ell}$, and hence $-\tilde{t}$ is an outer automorphism of $\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$. We denote by $\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{-\tilde{t}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, the semidirect product of $\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$
where the generator of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$by the outer automorphism $-\tilde{t}$. Since the outer automorphisms $-t$ of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ and $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$ are related by $*_{\ell} \circ \Lambda^{\ell} f \circ *_{\ell}^{-1}=$ $\Lambda^{\ell} f^{-t}$, we get a similar relation $\tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ \tilde{*}_{\ell}^{-1}=\Lambda^{\ell} f^{-\tilde{t}}$, where $\tilde{\star}_{\ell}:=*_{\ell} \circ \Lambda^{\ell} \kappa$. Now, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ generated by $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$ and $*_{\ell}$. We may replace $*_{\ell}$ by $\tilde{*}_{\ell}$ because $\left(*_{\ell}\right)^{-1} \tilde{*}_{\ell}=\Lambda^{\ell} \kappa \in \operatorname{im}(\rho)$. It follows that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ is generated by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{im}(\rho)$ and $\tilde{*}_{\ell}$. The relation $\tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ \tilde{*}_{\ell}^{-1}=\wedge^{\ell} f^{-\tilde{t}}$ shows that conjugation by $\tilde{\star}_{\ell}$ is an outer automorphism of $\rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right)$. We denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ generated by $\tilde{*}_{\ell}$ and $\rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right)$by $\rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{\tilde{x}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. The map from $\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{-\tilde{t}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ to $\rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{\tilde{\varkappa}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ that sends $f \mapsto \wedge^{\ell} f$ and $f^{-\tilde{t}} \mapsto \tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ \wedge^{\ell} f \circ \tilde{*}_{\ell}^{-1}$ establishes an isomorphism between these groups.

Corollary 4.3. For the big cell $W_{0}$ of the Grassmannian $G_{\ell}(V)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)= \begin{cases}\rho\left(\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) & \text {if } m \neq 2 \ell \\ \rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{\tilde{*}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } m=2 \ell\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Since any $g \in \hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, by definition carries $V_{m-\ell}$ to itself, we see that $\rho(g)$ carries the set $\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V): \operatorname{dim}\left(\gamma \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)>0\right\}=W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\ell}$ into itself. Therefore $\rho(g)$ carries $W_{0}$ to itself. Conversely, suppose $f \in \operatorname{im}(\rho)$ is in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$. Writing $f=\Lambda^{\ell} g$ for some $g \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$, we will prove that $g\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)=V_{m-\ell}$, and hence conclude that $f \in \hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$. To prove this suppose there is a $v_{0} \in V_{m-\ell}$ with $g\left(v_{0}\right) \notin V_{m-\ell}$. We then pick a $\gamma \in G_{\ell}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)=W_{\ell}$, such that $v_{0} \in \gamma$. Since $f(\gamma)$, i.e., the image of the vector space $\gamma$ under $g$ contains $g\left(v_{0}\right) \notin V_{m-\ell}$, it follows that $f(\gamma) \notin W_{\ell}$, contradicting the observation in Theorem 4.2, that $f$ carries each $W_{i}$ to itself bijectively, in particular $W_{\ell}$. In the case $m \neq 2 \ell$, since $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ is $\operatorname{im}(\rho)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right) \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)=$ $\rho\left(\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right)$. On the other hand, when $m=2 \ell$, we have already observed that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ is generated by $\tilde{*}_{\ell}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{im}(\rho)=\rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)=$ $\rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{\tilde{\tilde{\varkappa}}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$
4.2. Permutation Automorphisms of $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$. To begin with, let us consider the semilinear as well as the monomial automorphisms of the affine Grassmann code $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$. As before, we let $\lambda$ denote the GCD of $q-1$ and $\ell$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $K:=\left\{\left(c I_{m}, c^{-\ell}\right): c \in F^{\times} / \mu_{\lambda}\right\}$ be as in (11). Let $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ defined, in analogy with (12), by $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}}:=\left(\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mu_{\lambda} \times F^{\times}\right) / K$. Then:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}} & \text { if } m \neq 2 \ell  \tag{19}\\ \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}} \rtimes_{-\tilde{t}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } m=2 \ell\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{MAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ is obtained by replacing $\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell}$ by $P_{m-\ell, \ell}$ in the above description.

Proof: By definition the automorphism group of the projective system $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{A}}=$ $W_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ is the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ determined in Corollary 4.3. The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ is the subgroup $\pi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)\right)$ of $\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(G(\ell, m)))=\operatorname{Aut}(C(\ell, m))$. Using similar arguments as in the derivation of $\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(G(\ell, m)))$ from $\operatorname{Aut}(G(\ell, m))$ and also of equation (12), and upon replacing $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ by the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$, we obtain the desired result.

Since we are mainly interested in the permutation automorphism group of the affine Grassmann code $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$, we do not clarify the structure of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}}$ as a central $F^{\times}$-extension $\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / F^{\times}$any further. We now describe $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$.

Theorem 4.5. Up to an isomorphism, the permutation automorphism group of the affine Grassmann code $C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right) \simeq \begin{cases}P_{m-\ell, \ell} / F^{\times} & \text {if } m \neq 2 \ell \\ P_{\ell, \ell} / F^{\times} \rtimes_{-\tilde{t}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } m=2 \ell\end{cases}
$$

where $P_{m-\ell, \ell}$ is the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of transformations preserving $V_{m-\ell}$ (the span of the first $m-\ell$ standard basic vectors of $F^{m}$ ), while $F^{\times} \subset P_{m-\ell, \ell}$ denotes the subgroup of scalar matrices, and $-\tilde{t}$ is the outer automorphism of $P_{\ell, \ell}$ that was defined in the discussion preceding Corollary 4.3.

Proof: $\operatorname{PAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ consisting of those automorphisms which preserve the set of vectors in $\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}$ having Plücker coordinate $p_{I_{0}}=1$ (where $I_{0}:=(m-\ell+1, m-\ell+2, \ldots, m)$ ) and by disregarding the field automorphisms. In other words we must replace the group $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{A}}$ of equation (19) by the subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{P}^{\mathbb{A}}$ defined as follows. We write an element of $P_{m-\ell, \ell}$ as $\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathbf{u} \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right]$ where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(m-\ell, F), B \in \mathrm{GL}(\ell, F)$ and $\mathbf{u}$ is an $m-\ell \times \ell$ matrix.

$$
\mathcal{G}_{P}^{\mathbb{A}}:=\left\{\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & \mathbf{u}  \tag{20}\\
0 & B
\end{array}\right] \bmod \mu_{\lambda}, d\right): \operatorname{det}(B)=d^{-1}\right\} / K
$$

It is easy to check that the function from $\mathcal{G}_{P}^{\mathbb{A}}$ to $P_{m-\ell, \ell} / F^{\times}$that sends the general element of $\mathcal{G}_{P}^{\mathbb{A}}$ (in the notation of (20)) to $\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathbf{u} \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right] \bmod F^{\times}$is a well defined bijective group homomorphism.

## 5. Code associated with the Schubert divisor of $G(\ell, m)$

We use the notations of the previous section. We recall that the Schubert divisor $\Omega$ is the intersection of $G(\ell, m)$ with the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ of $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ as defined in section 4. We throughout assume $m \geq 3$, for otherwise $\Omega$ is $\mathbb{P}^{0}$ or $\varnothing$. We consider the projective system $\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}=\Omega \subset \mathcal{H}_{0}$. The fact that the second higher weight $d_{2}$ of the Grassmann code $C(\ell, m)$ is $q^{\ell(m-\ell)-1}(1+q)$ (see [14]) together with the fact that $\left|G_{\ell}(V)\right|=q^{\ell(m-\ell)}+|\Omega|$, implies that any codimension 2 subspace of $\mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} F^{m}\right)$ intersects $G_{\ell}(V)$ in at most $|\Omega|-q^{\ell(m-\ell)-1}$ points. In particular the projective system $\mathcal{P}_{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{H}_{0}$ is nondegenerate. The Schubert code $C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)$ is the linear code associated with this projective system. The study of these codes, and of more general Schubert codes, goes back to [6]. In this section we determine the automorphism group of $C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)$.
5.1. Automorphisms of the Schubert divisor of the Grassmannian. In this section the Grassmann variety $G(\ell, m)$ will be defined over an arbitrary field $\mathbb{K}$. We define:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)=\left\{g \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right): g(\Omega)=\Omega\right\}
$$

We need a few results before we can determine $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 5.1. The maximal linear subspaces of $\Omega$ are (in the notation introduced in Lemma 3.4 and the discussion following it)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{\beta} \quad \text { of dimension } m-\ell, \text { where } \operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)>0 \\
& \pi^{\delta} \quad \text { of dimension } \ell, \text { where } \operatorname{dim}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)>1 \\
& \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}=\beta \wedge\left(V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\}\right) \text { of dimension } m-\ell-1 \text {, where } \operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=0 \\
& \tilde{\pi}^{\delta} \quad=\pi_{\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}}^{\delta} \text { of dimension } \ell-1, \text { where } \operatorname{dim}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Let $\pi$ be a maximal linear subspace of $\Omega$. Since $\pi$ is also a linear subspace of $G_{\ell}(V), \pi$ is contained in some $\pi_{\beta}$ or some $\pi^{\delta}$. If $\pi \subset \pi_{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right) \geq 1$, then clearly $\pi_{\beta} \subset \Omega$, and hence $\pi=\pi_{\beta}$ by maximality. If $\pi \subset \pi_{\beta}$ with $\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=0$, then $\pi \subset \beta \wedge\left(V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ hence $\pi=\beta \wedge V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\}$ by maximality. If $\pi \subset \pi^{\delta}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right) \geq 2$, then $\pi^{\delta} \subset \Omega$, and hence $\pi=\pi^{\delta}$ by maximality. If $\pi \subset \pi^{\delta}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=1$, then $\pi$ must contain $\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}$, hence $\pi=\pi_{\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}}^{\delta}$ by maximality.

As before $\left(\cap_{\gamma \in \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}} \gamma\right)=\beta$ and $\left(\cup_{\gamma \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}}\right)=\delta$ show that that $\beta \neq \beta^{\prime}$ implies $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \neq \tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}}$, and $\delta \neq \delta^{\prime}$ implies $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta} \neq \tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$. Moreover in case $m=2 \ell$, no $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ is a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, although they are both $\ell-1$ dimensional. We recall that $\pi_{\beta} \cap \pi_{\beta^{\prime}}$ is non-empty if and only if there is a $\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V)$ containing $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ (in which case $\pi_{\beta} \cap \pi_{\beta^{\prime}}=\gamma$ ). Therefore, $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}}$ is non-empty if and only if there is a $\gamma \in W_{1}$ containing $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ (in which case $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}}=\gamma$ ). We recall that the intersection $\pi_{\beta} \cap \pi^{\delta}$ is nonempty if and only if $\beta \subset \delta$, in which case it is $\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(\delta): \beta \subset \gamma\right\}$. Therefore, if $\beta \subset \delta$ then $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}=\beta \wedge v_{\delta}$ where $v_{\delta} \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is the point $\mathbb{P}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$.

We recall the decomposition $\Omega=W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\ell}$ defined in (18). The subset $W_{1}$ is just the Schubert cell associated with the Schubert variety $\Omega$ ( 8$]$ ). The next few results lead to the fact (see Proposition 5.4) that automorphisms of $\Omega$ must preserve $W_{1}$. We define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{0}^{-}=\left\{\beta \in G_{\ell-1}(V): \operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=0\right\} \\
& W_{1}^{+}=\left\{\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V): \operatorname{dim}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.2. The maximal linear subspaces of $W_{1}$ are $\left\{\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}: \beta \in W_{0}^{-}\right\}$(of dimension $m-\ell-1$ ), and $\left\{\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}: \delta \in W_{1}^{+}\right\}$(of dimension $\ell-1$ ).
Proof: The stated subspaces are maximal by the previous lemma. Conversely, let $\pi$ be a maximal linear subspace of $W_{1}$, say of dimension $r$, not equal to a $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ or a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$. By the previous lemma $\pi$ is contained in a $\pi_{\beta}$ or a $\pi^{\delta}$. If $\pi \subset \pi_{\beta}$, then $\nu_{\beta}:=\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is 1 (if $\nu_{\beta}=0$, then $\pi \subset \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$, and if $\nu_{\beta}>1$ then $\pi_{\beta} \cap W_{1}=\varnothing$ ), and $\pi$ is of the form $\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V): \beta \subset \gamma \subset U\right\}$ for some $\ell+r$-dimensional vector subspace $U$ of $V$ (containing $\beta$ ). Since $\pi \subset W_{1}$, we must have $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=1$ (for otherwise $\pi \cap W_{2} \neq \varnothing$ ) which forces $\operatorname{dim}(U) \leq \ell+1$. Hence $r=1$. In this case $\pi \subset \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ for $\delta=U$.

If $\pi \subset \pi^{\delta}$, then $\nu_{\delta}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is 2 (if $\nu_{\delta}=1$, then $\pi \subset \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, and if $\nu_{\delta}>2$ then $\pi^{\delta} \cap W_{1}=\varnothing$ ), and $\pi$ is of the form $\left\{\gamma \in G_{\ell}(V): \delta \supset \gamma \supset U\right\}$ for some $\ell-r$-dimensional vector subspace $U$ of $\delta$. Now $\pi \cap W_{2}=\varnothing$ forces $\operatorname{dim}(U) \geq \ell-1$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=0$. Hence $r=1$. In this case $\pi \subset \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ for $\beta=U$.

Lemma 5.3. The Schubert cell $W_{1}$ is the union of all the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's, as well as the union of all the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's. In other words

$$
\bigcup_{\beta \in W_{0}^{-}} \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}=W_{1}=\bigcup_{\delta \in W_{1}^{+}} \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}
$$

Proof: By definition the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's and the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's are contained in $W_{1}$. Conversely, $\operatorname{dim}(\gamma \cap$ $\left.V_{m-\ell}\right)=1$ for any $\gamma \in W_{1}$. Therefore, we can find $\beta \subset \gamma$ and $\delta \supset \gamma$ with $\beta \in W_{0}^{-}$ and $\delta \in W_{1}^{+}$. It then follows that $\gamma \in \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}, \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$.

Proposition 5.4. If $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ then $f\left(W_{1}\right)=W_{1}$.
Remark: The proposition is motivated by the special case when $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. In this case it is known that $W_{1}$ is the smooth locus of $\Omega$ (11), Theorem 5.3]). Since $\operatorname{PGL}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ acts smoothly on $\mathcal{H}_{0}$, any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ must preserve $W_{1}$.

Proof: We observe that any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ preserves the set of maximal linear subspaces of $\Omega$ (if $\pi$ is maximal and $\pi^{\prime} \supsetneq f(\pi)$ then $\left.f^{-1}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \supsetneq \pi\right)$. We consider three cases: $m=2 \ell-1, m=2 \ell+1$ and $m \neq 2 \ell \pm 1$. If $m=2 \ell-1$, then it follows from Lemma 5.1, that the only maximal linear subspaces of $\Omega$ of dimension $\ell-2$ are the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's. (Since $m \geq 3$, we have $\ell-2 \geq 0$, therefore $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ has at least one point). Therefore the set of $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's is preserved, and hence $f\left(W_{1}\right)=W_{1}$ by Lemma 5.3. If $m=2 \ell+1$ then, it follows from Lemma [5.1] that the only maximal linear subspaces of $\Omega$ of dimension $\ell-1$ are the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's. Therefore the set of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's is preserved, and hence $f\left(W_{1}\right)=W_{1}$ by Lemma 5.3. Finally if $m \neq 2 \ell \pm 1$, then the only maximal linear subspaces of dimension $m-\ell-1$ are the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's (and also the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's, if $m=2 \ell$ ). Thus $f$ preserves the set of $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's ( or the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's taken together if $m=2 \ell$ ). By Lemma 5.3, $f\left(W_{1}\right)=W_{1}$.

For each $\gamma \in W_{0}$, the decomposition $V=V_{m-\ell} \oplus \gamma$ induces a natural inclusion $\hat{\psi}: \wedge^{1} V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\ell} V$ that takes a decomposable element $v \otimes \beta$ to $v \wedge \beta$. Let $\psi: \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell} \gamma\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ denote the corresponding projective map. We note that $\psi$ bijectively carries decomposable elements to decomposable elements of the $\ell(m-\ell)-1$ dimensional linear subspace $\operatorname{im}(\psi) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$. The decomposable elements of $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right)$ can be identified with $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right)$ by the Segre embedding. We define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\gamma}:=\psi\left(\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right)\right)=\operatorname{im}(\psi) \cap \Omega \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that the decomposable elements of $\operatorname{im}(\psi)$ are precisely $\operatorname{im}(\psi) \cap G_{\ell}(V)=\operatorname{im}(\psi) \cap \Omega$. Thus the subvariety $\Delta_{\gamma} \subset \Omega$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{m-\ell-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell-1}$ into $\Omega$. For each $v \in V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\}$, we have $\psi\left(v \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right)$ is just $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ where $\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V)$ is represented by $v \wedge \gamma$. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right)=G_{\ell-1}(\gamma)$, we have $\psi\left(V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\} \otimes \beta\right)$ is just $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$. Therefore, $\Delta_{\gamma}$ can also be described as a union of maximal linear subspaces of $W_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\gamma}=\bigcup_{\beta \subset \gamma} \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}=\bigcup_{\delta \supset \gamma} \tilde{\pi}^{\delta} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that for $\hat{v}, \hat{v}^{\prime}$ linearly independent, the linear subspaces $\hat{v} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma$ and $\hat{v}^{\prime} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma$ of $V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma$ intersect only in $\{0\}$. Similarly, for $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}^{\prime}$ linearly independent in $\wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma$, the subspaces $V_{m-\ell} \otimes \hat{\beta}$ and $V_{m-\ell} \otimes \hat{\beta}^{\prime}$ of $V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma$ intersect in $\{0\}$. Since $\psi$ is injective, we conclude that the unions appearing in (22) are disjoint.

The next lemma and proposition concern properties of $\Delta_{\gamma}$ which are needed in Theorem 5.8.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in W_{0}$.
(1) Each line in $G_{\ell}(V)$ through $\gamma$ intersects $\Omega$ in a unique point. The set of all such intersection points is precisely $\Delta_{\gamma}$.
(2) $\Delta_{\gamma}=\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$.

Proof: 1) A line $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ in $G_{\ell}(V)$ passes through $\gamma \in W_{0}$ if and only if $\beta \in W_{0}^{-}$and $\delta \in W_{0}^{+}$with $\beta \subset \gamma \subset \delta$. The intersection of $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ with $\Omega$ is exactly $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}=\beta \wedge v_{\delta}$ where $v_{\delta} \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is the point $\mathbb{P}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$, as noted in the discussion following Lemma 5.1. Therefore, the set of intersection points of lines through $\gamma$ with $\Omega$ is $\cup_{\beta \subset \gamma} \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ which is $\Delta_{\gamma}$ by (22).
2) Suppose $\Delta_{\gamma}=\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. For any $\beta^{\prime} \in G_{\ell-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}} \subset \Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$, hence $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}} \subset \Delta_{\gamma}$. Since $\Delta_{\gamma}$ is the disjoint union of $\tilde{\pi}^{\gamma \wedge v}$ as $v$ runs over $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$, we must have $\beta^{\prime} \wedge v \in \tilde{\pi}^{\gamma \wedge v}$, because $v$ is the unique element of $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell} \cap(\beta \wedge v)\right)$ as well as $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell} \cap(\gamma \wedge v)\right)$. Therefore, $\beta^{\prime} \subset(\gamma \oplus \mathbb{K} \hat{v})$ for all $\hat{v} \in V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\}$, and hence $\beta^{\prime} \subset \cap_{\hat{v} \in V_{m-\ell} \backslash\{0\}}(\gamma \oplus \mathbb{K} \hat{v})=\gamma$. We have thus shown that every $\ell-1$ dimensional subspace of $\gamma^{\prime}$ is also a subspace of $\gamma$, which implies $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$. Conversely, if $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$ then clearly $\Delta_{\gamma}=\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$.

Proposition 5.6. Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces of dimensions $m-\ell, \ell$ and $(m-\ell) \ell$ respectively with $\mathbb{P}(Z)$ a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{0}$.
Let $\Psi: \mathbb{P}(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Z)$ be a projective semilinear isomorphism carrying decomposable elements (i.e., $\mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y)$, by Segre embedding) to decomposable elements (i.e., $\mathbb{P}(Z) \cap \Omega)$. Suppose that on $\mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y), \Psi$ takes $[x] \times \mathbb{P}(Y)$ to a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta(x)}$ and $\mathbb{P}(X) \times[y]$ to a $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta(y)}$, for each $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ and $[y] \in \mathbb{P}(Y)$. Then $\Psi(\mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y))=\Delta_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in W_{0}$.

Proof: Let $[x],[y], \delta(x)$ and $\beta(y)$ be as in the hypothesis. Let $\Psi_{1}(x)$ denote the point $\mathbb{P}\left(\delta(x) \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$. Now, any element $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \tilde{\pi}_{\beta(y)}$ can be written uniquely as $v \wedge \beta(y)$ where $v$ is the point $\mathbb{P}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$. Let $\gamma^{\prime}:=$ $\Psi([x \otimes y]) \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta(x)}$. Then $\Psi_{1}(x)$ is $\mathbb{P}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$, hence $\Psi([x \otimes y])=\Psi_{1}(x) \wedge \beta(y)$. If $[x] \neq\left[x^{\prime}\right]$ then $[x] \times \mathbb{P}(Y)$ and $\left[x^{\prime}\right] \times \mathbb{P}(Y)$ are disjoint in $\mathbb{P}(X \otimes Y)$, and since $\Psi$ is bijective, we get that $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta(x)}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)}$ are disjoint. Since $\Psi_{1}(x) \wedge \beta(y) \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta(x)}$ and $\Psi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \wedge \beta(y) \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)}$, we conclude that $\Psi_{1}: \mathbb{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is bijective. Let $[y] \neq\left[y^{\prime}\right]$, then $\mathbb{P}(X) \times[y]$ and $\mathbb{P}(X) \times\left[y^{\prime}\right]$ are disjoint, and since $\Psi$ is injective we get $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta(y)}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)}$ are disjoint. Since $\Psi_{1}(x) \wedge \beta(y) \in \tilde{\pi}_{\beta(y)}$ and $\Psi_{1}(x) \wedge \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{\pi}_{\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)}$, we conclude that $\beta(y) \neq \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\beta(y), \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ are subspaces of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta(x)}$ (for each $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(X))$, we get $\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta(y)+\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \ell+1$. In case this dimension is $\ell+1$, it follows that $\beta(y)+\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\delta(x)$ for all $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(X)$. In particular $\Psi_{1}(x)=$ $\mathbb{P}\left(\delta(x) \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$ is independent of $[x]$ contradicting the fact proved above that $\Psi_{1}$ is bijective. Therefore, $\gamma:=\beta(y)+\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is $\ell$-dimensional. Suppose $\mathbb{P}\left(\gamma \cap V_{m-\ell}\right) \neq \varnothing$, then we pick $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ such that $\Psi_{1}(x) \in \mathbb{P}\left(\gamma \cap V_{m-\ell)}\right.$ (using the fact that $\left.\Psi_{1}(\mathbb{P}(X))=\mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)\right)$. Now, again using the fact that $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta(y)}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)}$ are disjoint, we get $\Psi_{1}(x) \wedge \beta(y)$ and $\Psi_{1}(x) \wedge \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ are distinct $\ell$-dimensional subspaces of the $\ell+1$ dimensional space $\delta(x)$, hence their sum is $\delta(x)$. In other words if $v \in V_{m-\ell}$ represents $\Psi_{1}(x) \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$, then $\mathbb{K} v+\beta(y)+\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\delta(x)$, however $\beta(y)+\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is $\ell$-dimensional and contains $v$ contradicting $\operatorname{dim}(\delta)=\ell+1$. Thus $\gamma \in W_{0}$ and $\delta(x)=\gamma \wedge \Psi_{1}(x)$ for all $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(X)$. Thus $\{\delta(x): x \in \mathbb{P}(X)\}$ is the family of all $\delta \in G_{\ell+1}(V)$ containing $\gamma \in W_{0}$. By (22), we conclude $\Psi(\mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y))=\Delta_{\gamma}$.

The next lemma is needed for the case $m=2 \ell$ in Theorem 5.8,
Lemma 5.7. Let $\gamma \in W_{0}, \beta \in W_{0}^{-}$, and $\delta \neq \delta^{\prime} \in W_{0}^{+}$
(1) If $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ intersect, then the only lines in $\Omega$ joining a point of $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ to a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ are the ones passing through the point $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ and completely contained in $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ or $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$.
(2) If $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ intersect, then there is a line in $\Omega$ joining a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ to a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ which is not completely contained in $W_{1}$.
Proof: (1) Let $\mathbb{K} v_{0}=V_{m-\ell} \cap \delta$. As observed in the discussion after Lemma 5.1, $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}=\mathbb{K} v_{0} \oplus \beta$. Now, let $L$ be a line joining a point $\gamma_{1} \in \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ to some point $\gamma_{2} \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$. Let $\mathbb{K} v_{1}=V_{m-\ell} \cap \gamma_{1}$. If $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ are dependent, then clearly $\gamma_{1}=\mathbb{K} v_{0} \oplus \beta=\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, and therefore $L \subset \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$. If $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ are independent, then we pick a complement $\gamma_{0}$ of $\mathbb{K} v_{0}$ in $\delta$ satisfying $\beta \subset \gamma_{0}$. Every element of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ (and hence $\gamma_{2}$ in particular) is of the form $\mathbb{K} v_{0} \oplus \beta^{\prime}$ for some $\ell-1$ dimensional subspace $\beta^{\prime}$ of $\gamma_{0}$. We write $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\left(K v_{0} \oplus K v_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\beta+\beta^{\prime}\right)$ (where we have used the fact that $\beta+\beta^{\prime}$ being contained in $\gamma_{0}$ intersects $V_{m-\ell}$ trivially). By definition of a line in $G_{\ell}(V), \operatorname{dim}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)=\ell+1$, and hence $\operatorname{dim}\left(\beta+\beta^{\prime}\right)=\ell-1$, which implies $\beta=\beta^{\prime}$. Thus $\gamma_{2} \in \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ and hence $L \subset \tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$.
(2) By definition of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, it follows that $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ intersect if and only if $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta} \cap$ $V_{m-\ell}=\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}} \cap V_{m-\ell}=\mathbb{K} v_{0}$ (for some $v_{0} \in V_{m-\ell}$ ), and there exists an $\ell$-dimensional subspace $\gamma=\delta \cap \delta^{\prime}$ with $v_{0} \in \gamma$. We write $\delta=\gamma \oplus \mathbb{K} u$ and $\delta^{\prime}=\gamma \oplus \mathbb{K} u^{\prime}$. Since $\delta+\delta^{\prime}$ is $\ell+2$ dimensional there is a $v_{1} \in V_{m-\ell}$ contained in $\delta+\delta^{\prime}$ and independent of $v_{0}$. We write $v_{1}=u^{\prime \prime}+a u+b u^{\prime}$ for some $u^{\prime \prime} \in \gamma$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$. Since $v_{1} \notin \delta, \delta^{\prime}$, the scalars $a, b$ are both non-zero. Hence we can express $\delta=\gamma \oplus \mathbb{K} u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ where $u^{\prime \prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime}+a u$. Now for any $\ell-1$ dimensional subspace $\beta$ of $\gamma$ containing $v_{0}$, we observe that the line joining $\beta \oplus \mathbb{K} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ and $\beta \oplus \mathbb{K} u^{\prime} \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ is not contained in $W_{1}$ as it contains $\beta \oplus \mathbb{K} v_{1} \in W_{2}$.
Theorem 5.8. $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)= \begin{cases}\rho\left(\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) & \text {if } m \neq 2 \ell, \\ \rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } m=2 \ell .\end{cases}$
More precisely, for each $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$, there is a unique way to extend $f$ to an automorphism $\iota(f)$ of $G_{\ell}(V)$. The image of this monomorphism from $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ is the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$.
Proof: By Theorem 4.2, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ that preserves $W_{0}$, and hence its complement $\Omega$. For any $f \in P_{m-\ell, \ell} / \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, (where $P_{m-\ell, \ell}$ is the parabolic subgroup of GL $(V)$ defined in section (4), clearly $\rho(f)=\Lambda^{\ell} f$ preserves the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$. By the description of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ given in Corollary 4.3, every element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ preserves $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. Therefore, the restriction $f \mapsto f_{\mid \mathcal{H}_{0}}$ is a homomorphism from $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$. We claim that this homomorphism is injective, i.e., if $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ fixes $\Omega$ pointwise, then it fixes $W_{0}$ pointwise. We will show $f(\gamma)=\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in W_{0}$. By Lemma 5.5, the set of intersection points of all lines through $\gamma \in W_{0}$ with $\Omega$ is $\Delta_{\gamma}$. Since $f$ is also an automorphism of $G_{\ell}(V)$ (by Theorem 4.2), these lines get mapped to the set of all lines through $f(\gamma) \in W_{0}$. Since $f$ fixes $\Omega$ pointwise, we see that the intersection points with $\Omega$ of all lines through $f(\gamma)$ is $\Delta_{\gamma}$, however it is also $\Delta_{f(\gamma)}$ by Lemma 5.5. Thus, we get $\Delta_{\gamma}=\Delta_{f(\gamma)}$, and part 2) of Lemma 5.5 implies $\gamma=f(\gamma)$.

We will construct an extension of each $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ to an automorphism $\iota(f)$ of $G_{\ell}(V)$ that preserves $W_{0}$. Since the restriction homomorphism above is injective,
such an extension $\iota(f)$ is unique. By uniqueness the map $f \mapsto \iota(f)$ is a monomorphism from $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ onto $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$. By Proposition 5.4 any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ satisfies $f\left(W_{1}\right)=W_{1}$, and hence it preserves the set of maximal linear subspaces of $W_{1}$. First we assume that no $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}\right)$ is a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ (this is automatic if $m \neq 2 \ell$, by looking at their dimensions). Thus we have bijections $f_{-}: W_{0}^{-} \rightarrow W_{0}^{-}$and $f_{+}: W_{0}^{+} \rightarrow W_{0}^{+}$defined by $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{f_{-}(\beta)}$ and $f\left(\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}\right)=\tilde{\pi}^{f_{+}(\delta)}$. We now fix a $\gamma \in W_{0}$, and recall the maps $\hat{\psi}$ and $\psi$ that were defined after the proof of Proposition 5.4. Let $X=V_{m-\ell}, Y=\wedge^{\ell} \gamma$, and $Z=\hat{f}\left(\hat{\psi}\left(V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell} \gamma\right)\right.$, where $\hat{f}$ is any representative in $\Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ of $f$. We observe that the composition $\Psi:=f \circ \psi: \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell} \otimes \Lambda^{\ell} \gamma\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(Z)$, is a projective semilinear isomorphism, satisfying $\Psi\left(\left[\hat{v} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right]\right)=\tilde{\pi}^{f_{+}(\delta)}$ (where $\delta=v \wedge \gamma$ ), and $\Psi\left(\left[V_{m-\ell} \otimes \hat{\beta}\right]\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{f_{-}(\beta)}$ for each $v \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{\ell-1} \gamma\right)$. Therefore, $\Psi$ satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 5.6, which implies that $\Psi(\mathbb{P}(X) \times \mathbb{P}(Y))=f\left(\Delta_{\gamma}\right)$ is a $\Delta_{f_{0}(\gamma)}$ for some $f_{0}(\gamma) \in W_{0}$. This defines a function $f_{0}: W_{0} \rightarrow W_{0}$ which has an inverse namely the corresponding function $\left(f^{-1}\right)_{0}$. Thus $f_{0}$ is a bijection. We now have a bijection $\iota(f): G_{\ell}(V) \rightarrow G_{\ell}(V)$ defined by the pair $f, f_{0}$. We have defined $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ as the line preserving bijections of $G_{\ell}(V)$ (see equation (2)). Therefore it suffices to show that $\iota(f)$ carries lines to lines. Let $L$ be a line in $G_{\ell}(V)$. If $L$ does not intersect $W_{0}$, then $L \subset \Omega$ and hence $f(L)$ is a line in $\Omega$. If $L$ passes through a point $\gamma \in W_{0}$, then writing $L=\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ we must have $\beta \subset \gamma \subset \delta$. Now $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ has all but one of its points, namely $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}^{\delta}:=\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, in $W_{0}$. Now each $\gamma^{\prime} \in \pi_{\beta}^{\delta} \cap W_{0}$ has the property that $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}, \tilde{\pi}^{\delta} \subset \Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. Hence $\tilde{\pi}_{f_{-}(\beta)}, \tilde{\pi}^{f_{+}(\delta)} \subset \Delta_{f_{0}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}$, thus proving that $f_{-}(\beta) \subset f_{0}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \subset f_{+}(\delta)$, i.e., $f_{0}\left(\pi_{\beta}^{\delta} \cap W_{0}\right) \subset \pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}^{f_{+}(\delta)}$. Moreover $f$ carries the remaining point of $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$, namely $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ to $\tilde{\pi}_{f_{-}(\beta)} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{f_{+}(\delta)} \in \pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}^{f_{+}(\delta)}$. Thus $\iota(f)$ takes the line $\pi_{\beta}^{\delta}$ to the line $\pi_{f_{-}(\beta)}^{f_{+}(\delta)}$. This proves that $\iota(f) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$.

It remains to consider the case when $m=2 \ell$ and some $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta_{0}}\right)$ is a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$. In this case, we will prove that for each $\gamma \in W_{0}$ with $\beta_{0} \subset \gamma$, we have $f\left(\Delta_{\gamma}\right)=\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ for some $\gamma^{\prime} \in W_{0}$, and that $f$ sends the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's in $\Delta_{\gamma}$ to the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's in $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$, and the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's in $\Delta_{\gamma}$ to the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's contained in $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. To prove this, suppose $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta_{0}}\right)=\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ and $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}}\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime \prime}}$, where $\beta_{0}, \beta^{\prime}$ are contained in a $\gamma \in W_{0}$. Let $v_{0}=\mathbb{P}\left(\delta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)$. Since $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime}}\right)=\tilde{\pi}_{\beta^{\prime \prime}}$, there is a $v_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell}\right)$, such that $f\left(v_{0}^{\prime} \wedge \beta^{\prime}\right)=v_{0} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}$. We consider $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ where $\delta^{\prime}=v_{0}^{\prime} \wedge \gamma$. Let $L$ be the line in $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ joining $v_{0}^{\prime} \wedge \beta_{0}$ and $v_{0}^{\prime} \wedge \beta^{\prime}$. The line $f(L)$ joins $v_{0} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}$ to some point $v_{0} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$. In particular every $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \in f(L)$ contains $v_{0}$ (because each $\left.\gamma^{\prime \prime} \supset\left(v_{0} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap\left(v_{0} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right)$. Since the intersection of all $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ lying on a line in a $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ is $\beta$, and $\mathbb{P}\left(\beta \cap V_{m-\ell}\right)=\varnothing$, we see that $f\left(\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}\right)$ must be a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime \prime}}$ for some $\delta^{\prime \prime} \in W_{0}^{+}$. Since $v_{0} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a common point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, part 2) of Lemma 5.7 implies that there is a line joining a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime \prime}}$ to a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ which is not contained in $W_{1}$. However every such line is the image under $f$ of a line joining a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ to a point of $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$, which by part 1) of Lemma 5.7 is contained in $W_{1}$. This contradicts the fact that $f$ preserves $W_{1}$, and therefore we conclude that $f$ carries all $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's in $\Delta_{\gamma}$ to $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's. Now, suppose there is a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ in $\Delta_{\gamma}$ such that $f\left(\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}\right)$ is a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$. Fix any $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta_{0}}$ of $\Delta_{\gamma}$. As proved above $f\left(\tilde{\pi}_{\beta_{0}}\right)=\tilde{\pi}^{\delta_{0}}$ for some $\delta_{0} \in W_{0}^{+}$. Now $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta_{0}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ intersect in a point, and hence their images under $f$, namely $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta_{0}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ also intersect in a point. Again using parts 2) and 1) of Lemma 5.7, there is a line joining a point $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta_{0}}$ to a point of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta^{\prime}}$ which is not contained in $W_{1}$, but it is the image under $f$ of a line contained in $W_{1}$, which contradicts the fact that $f$ preserves
$W_{1}$, and therefore we conclude that $f$ carries all $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's in $\Delta_{\gamma}$ to $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's. We now use Proposition 5.6. with $Y=V_{m-\ell}, X=\wedge^{\ell} \gamma, \mathbb{P}(Z)=f \circ \psi\left(V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell} \gamma\right)$, where $(\psi$ was defined in after Proposition 5.4) and $\Psi:=f \circ \psi: \mathbb{P}\left(V_{m-\ell} \otimes \wedge^{\ell} \gamma\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(Z)$, to conclude that $f\left(\Delta_{\gamma}\right)=\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ for some $\gamma^{\prime} \in W_{0}$.

Now we start with a $\Delta_{\gamma}$ such that $f\left(\Delta_{\gamma}\right)=\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ (for some $\gamma^{\prime} \in W_{0}$ ), and with the property that $f$ sends the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's of $\Delta_{\gamma}$ to $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's and $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's respectively, of $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. We will show that $f$ sends every $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}$ to a $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}}$ (for some $\gamma^{\prime \prime \prime} \in W_{0}$ ), with $f$ sending the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's and $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's of $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}$ to $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's and $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's respectively, of $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime \prime \prime}}$. First let $\Delta_{\gamma}$ and $\Delta_{\gamma_{1}}$ have a $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ in common. Then, $f$ takes $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \subset \Delta_{\gamma_{1}}$ to a $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$, and hence the above arguments above applied to $\Delta_{\gamma_{1}}$ imply that there is a $\Delta_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}}$ with $f\left(\Delta_{\gamma_{1}}\right)=\Delta_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}}$ with $f$ sending $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's to $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's and vice-versa. Now, every $\gamma \in W_{0}$ has a unique expression of the form $\gamma=\left(e_{m-\ell+1}+v_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(e_{m}+v_{\ell}\right)$ where $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell} \in V_{m-\ell}$. Given $\Delta_{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}$ with $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=\left(e_{m-\ell+1}+v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(e_{m}+v_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$, let $\gamma_{0}=\gamma$, and define $\gamma_{i}:=\left(e_{m-\ell+1}+v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(e_{m-\ell+i}+v_{i}^{\prime}\right) \wedge\left(e_{m-\ell+i+1}+v_{i+1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(e_{m}+v_{\ell}\right)$. We note that $\gamma_{\ell}=\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and that $\gamma_{i-1}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ intersect in a $\beta_{i} \in W_{0}^{-}$, and hence $\Delta_{\gamma_{i-1}}$ and a $\Delta_{\gamma_{i}}$ have a $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ in common. We thus conclude that $f$ preserves the set of $\Delta_{\gamma}$ 's in $\Omega$, and that $f$ sends the $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's of $\Omega$ to the $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's of $\Omega$ and vice-versa. As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, it now follows that the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\Omega)$ of automorphisms preserving the set of $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's has index 2 in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$. Now $\tilde{\varkappa}_{\ell} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ defined in the previous section is in the nontrivial coset of $\mathrm{Aut}_{0}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{\ell}(V)\right)$. Therefore it takes the set of $\pi_{\beta}$ 's to the set of $\pi^{\delta}$ 's, and hence the restriction of $\tilde{*}_{\ell}$ to $\Omega$ must take the set of $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta}$ 's to the set of $\tilde{\pi}^{\delta}$ 's. Thus $\tilde{*}_{\ell}$ (i.e., its restriction to $\Omega)$ represents the nontrivial coset of $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\Omega)$. For $f \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(\Omega)$, the composition $\tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ \iota(f) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$ is an extension (and hence the unique extension) of $\tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ f$ to $G_{\ell}(V)$. Thus we define $\iota\left(\tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ f\right)=\tilde{*}_{\ell} \circ \iota(f)$. This concludes the construction of the isomorphism $f \mapsto \iota(f)$ between $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$.

Corollary 5.9. $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right) / F^{\times} \simeq \begin{cases}\rho\left(\hat{P}_{m-\ell, \ell} / F^{\times}\right) & \text {if } m \neq 2 \ell, \\ \rho\left(\hat{P}_{\ell, \ell} / F^{\times}\right) \rtimes_{\tilde{\varkappa}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } m=2 \ell .\end{cases}$
The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ (described in (19) ). Moreover, $\operatorname{MAut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{MAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$.

Proof: Since $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right) / F^{\times}$is just $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$, the first assertion of the corollary follows from Theorem 5.8. Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{0}$ be the hyperplane in $\Lambda^{\ell} V$ given by the vanishing of the Plücker coordinate $p_{I_{0}}$ where $I_{0}:=(m-\ell+1, m-\ell+2, \ldots, m)$. Let $p_{2}: \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and $p_{1}: \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$ be the projection homomorphisms. The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ is isomorphic to $p_{2}^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega))$, and the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ is isomorphic to $p_{1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)\right)$. Given $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$, let $\hat{f} \in$ $p_{1}^{-1}(\iota(f))$. Since $\iota(f)$ preserves $\mathcal{H}_{0}$, we get a homomorphism $\jmath: \operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ obtained by restricting each $\hat{f}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{0}$. Now any $g \in p_{2}^{-1}(f)$ is of the form $c \jmath(\hat{f})$ for some non-zero scalar $c$. Since $c \jmath(\hat{f})=\jmath(c \hat{f})$, it follows that $\jmath$ is surjective. Suppose $\hat{f} \in \operatorname{ker}(\jmath)$, then $f=p_{2} \circ \jmath(\hat{f})$ is the identity of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$, and hence $\iota(f)$ is the identity of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(W_{0}\right)$, whence $\hat{f}$ is $c$ times the identity of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\wedge^{\ell} V\right)$. Therefore, $\jmath(\hat{f})$ is $c$ times the identity of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{0}\right)$ which implies $c=1$, i.e., $\jmath$ is injective. Thus the homomorphism $\jmath$ is an isomorphism between $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$. Moreover, since the isomorphism $\jmath$ simply maps elements of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ to their restrictions to the hyperplane $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{0}$, it follows that $\operatorname{MAut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{MAut}\left(C^{\mathbb{A}}(\ell, m)\right)$ as well.

We remark that $\operatorname{Aut}(C(\ell, m))$ is transitive in the sense that given any pair $e_{i} \neq e_{j}$ of basic vectors of $F^{n}$, there is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}(C(\ell, m))$ which sends $e_{i}$ to a scalar multiple of $e_{j}$. In contrast, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{\Omega}(\ell, m)\right)$ is not transitive since it preserves the basic vectors representing $W_{i}$, for each $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. As remarked before, in the case when the field $F$ is replaced by an algebraically closed field, this lack of transitivity is reflected in the fact that $\Omega$ is not a smooth variety, and the automorphisms must preserve the smooth locus $W_{1} \subset \Omega$.
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